

# The chromatic splitting conjecture; the case of the prime 3 and chromatic level 2

Hans-Werner Henn

Université de Strasbourg

Structured ring spectra: Hamburg, August 5, 2011

(joint work with Paul Goerss and Mark Mahowald)

Fix a prime  $p$ .

## Chromatic localizations

The height filtration of the moduli stack of one dimensional formal groups laws over  $p$ -local rings has a counterpart in the category of  $p$ -local spectra known as the chromatic filtration.

- Let  $E(n)$  be the  $n$ -th Johnson-Wilson spectrum
- Let  $K(n)$  be the  $n$ -th Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum
- Let  $L_n$  be Bousfield localization with respect to  $E(n)_*$ .
- Let  $L_{K(n)}$  be Bousfield localization with respect to  $K(n)_*$ .

## Examples

- $L_0$  is rationalization.
- $L_1$  is localization with respect to  $p$ -local  $K$ -theory.
- $L_{K(1)}$  is localization with respect to mod- $p$   $K$ -theory.

## The chromatic tower

These functors assemble into a tower known as the chromatic tower. Chromatic homotopy theory is the study of the  $p$ -local stable category via the tower of Bousfield localization functors  $L_n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 X & \longrightarrow & L_n X \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 X & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} X \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 X & \longrightarrow & L_0 X
 \end{array}$$

## Chromatic convergence and the chromatic square

- Chromatic convergence says that for finite  $p$ -local spectra the right hand tower is pro-isomorphic to the constant left hand tower.
- $L_n$  is determined by  $L_{n-1}$  and  $L_{K(n)}$ . More precisely, for every  $X$  there is a homotopy pull back square (the “ $n$ -th chromatic square”)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n X & \rightarrow & L_{K(n)} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{n-1} X & \rightarrow & L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} X \end{array}$$

## The chromatic splitting conjecture - (Hopkins, popularized by Hovey in early 1990's)

- If  $X$  is  $p$ -complete finite then  $L_{n-1}X \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}X$  is a split monomorphism (This is a weak form of the conjecture).
- There is a more precise form which describes the complementary factor of  $L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}$  in terms of  $L_k$ 's for  $k < n$ . (See below in the cases  $n \leq 2$ )

## An immediate application (cf. Hovey)

- Suppose the chromatic splitting conjecture is true and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between two finite spectra with  $L_{K(n)}f : L_{K(n)}X \rightarrow L_{K(n)}Y$  null for infinitely many  $n$
- Then  $f$  is null.

## What is known?

Fix  $n$  and  $p$ . If  $L_{n-1}X_p \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}X$  is a split monomorphism for  $X = S^0$  then it is true for every finite  $X$ . The following is a complete list of all known cases.

- $n = 1$  and all primes (easy, see below)
- $n = 2$  and  $p \geq 5$  (known as consequence of calculations by Shimomura-Yabe in Topology (1995))
- $n = 2$  and  $p = 3$  (Goerss, H. and Mahowald)

## The case $n = 1$

The case  $n = 1$  follows immediately from the fibration (Adams-Baird, Bousfield, Ravenel ...)

$$(*) \quad L_{K(1)}S^0 \rightarrow K\mathbb{Z}_p^{h\mu_p} \xrightarrow{\psi^{p+1}-id} K\mathbb{Z}_p^{h\mu_p}$$

where

- $\mu_p$  stands for the group of roots of unity in the  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$
- $\psi^{p+1}$  is the appropriate Adams operation.

In fact, (\*) implies immediately

$$L_0L_{K(1)}S^0 \simeq L_0(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1})$$

and the map from  $L_0S_p^0$  is easily checked to be the inclusion as the first factor.

## The case $n = 2$ and $p > 2$ - the strategy

The idea is to generalize the proof for  $n = 1$  in the following way:

- Replace  $(*)$  by a “resolution of spectra”

$$L_{K(2)}S^0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow X_4$$

and use this resolution in order to show

- 

$$L_0L_{K(2)}S^0 \simeq L_0(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1} \vee S_p^{-3} \vee S_p^{-4})$$

- 

$$L_{K(1)}L_{K(2)}S^0 \simeq L_{K(1)}(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1})$$

- Use the chromatic square to deduce

$$L_1L_{K(2)}S^0 \simeq L_1(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1}) \vee L_0(S_p^{-3} \vee S_p^{-4})$$



## Remarks

- In case  $n = 2$  and  $p > 3$  this can be deduced from the very complicated calculations of  $\pi_*(L_{K(2)}S^0)$  due to Shimomura-Yabe.
- However, it is actually enough to know the comparatively much more comprehensible earlier calculation of  $\pi_*(L_{K(2)}M(p))$  (by Shimomura).
- In case  $n = 2$  and  $p = 3$  Shimomura and Wang (Topology 2002) have calculated  $\pi_*(L_{K(2)}S^0)$  and deduced from their calculation that the explicit form of the splitting conjecture given above does not hold. However, their calculation contains errors!!
- In the sequel we discuss the case  $n = 2$  and  $p = 3$  in a form which applies equally well to the previously known case  $n = 2$  and  $p \geq 5$ .

The starting point is given by the following result in which

- $\mathbb{G}_n$  is the big (extended) Morava stabilizer group,  
 $\mathbb{G}_n = \mathbb{D}_n^\times / \langle p \rangle$ , and
- $E_n$  is the Landweber exact 2-periodic Lubin Tate spectrum whose  $\pi_0$  classifies deformations of the Honda formal group law of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ ,  $E_n = \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}[[u_1, \dots, u_{h-1}]][u^{\pm 1}]$ .

### Theorem ( Devinatz-Hopkins )

- 

$$L_{K(n)}S^0 \simeq E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n}$$

- The associated descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} \cong H^s(\mathbb{G}_n, (E_n)_t) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(L_{K(n)}X)$$

can be identified with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for  $L_{K(n)}S^0$ .

## A decomposition of the Morava stabilizer group

We are thus interested in cohomological properties of the group  $\mathbb{G}_2$ .

- Let  $\mathbb{G}_2$  be the big Morava stabilizer group, i.e.  
 $\mathbb{G}_2 = \mathbb{D}_2^\times / \langle p \rangle$ . The resolution arises from a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  considered as a trivial module for the group  $\mathbb{G}_2$ .
- In fact, the problem can be reduced to a smaller group  $\mathbb{G}_2^1$ , the kernel of the reduced norm which in this framework is a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_n^\times / \langle p \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / \langle p^n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times / \mu_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$

- For  $n = 2$  and  $p \geq 3$  there is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{G}_2 \cong \mathbb{G}_2^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## Theorem (Goerss, Henn, Mahowald, Rezk)

- There is an explicit exact complex of  $\mathbb{Z}_3[[\mathbb{G}_2^1]]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3 .$$

with

$$C_0 = C_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3[[\mathbb{G}_2^1/G_{24}]]$$

$$C_1 = C_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3[[\mathbb{G}_2^1]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_3[SD_{16}]} \mathbb{Z}_3(\chi)$$

suitable permutation modules on finite subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}_2^1$ .

- There is an explicit “exact” complex of spectra of the form

$$1 \rightarrow E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow 1 .$$

with

$$X_0 = \Sigma^{24} X_3 = (E_2)^{hG_{24}} = L_{K(2)} TMF, X_1 = X_2 = \Sigma^8 (E_2)^{SD_{16}} .$$

## Analyzing the resulting spectral sequences

- By [Henn, Karamanov, Mahowald] the algebraic complex is sufficiently well under control so that we can evaluate the resulting spectral sequences after rationalization resp.  $v_1^{-1}$ -localization.
- In fact, the homotopy type of  $L_1 L_{K(2)} X$  turns out to be “constant” on the exotic part of Hopkins’ Picard group consisting of  $K(2)$ -local spectra  $X$  for which there is an isomorphism of Morava modules  $(E_2)_* X \cong (E_2)_* S^0$ .

## Theorem (Goerss, Henn, Mahowald)

Let  $p = 3$  and suppose  $X$  is a  $K(2)$ -local spectra satisfying  $(E_2)_*X \cong (E_2)_*S^0$  as Morava modules.

- $$L_0L_{K(2)}(E_2^{hG_2^1} \wedge X) \simeq L_0(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-3})$$

- $$L_0X \simeq L_0(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1} \vee S_p^{-3} \vee S_p^{-4})$$

- $$L_{K(1)}(E_2^{hG_2^1} \wedge (X \wedge M(p))) \simeq L_{K(1)}M(p)$$

- $$L_{K(1)}L_{K(2)}(X \wedge M(p)) \simeq L_{K(1)}(M(p) \vee \Sigma^{-1}M(p))$$

- $$L_{K(1)}X \simeq L_{K(1)}(S_p^0 \vee S_p^{-1})$$