

**Exercise Sheet 7 for Infinite Graph Theory, WS 2019/20**  
*(to be discussed on 1. December 2019)*

- 1.<sup>-</sup> If  $G$  is connected and none of its ends is dominated, then  $G$  has a normal spanning tree.
- 2.<sup>-</sup> Show that an  $\omega_1$ -graph has no NST.
3. Prove the following special case of Fodor's Lemma: every decreasing function  $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$  (one such that  $f(\alpha) < \alpha$  for all  $0 \neq \alpha \in \omega_1$ ) is constant on some uncountable subset of its domain. Then use this to show that every  $\omega_1$ -graph contains a  $TK^{\aleph_1}$ .
4. (i)<sup>-</sup> Show that overloaded graphs have no NST.  
(ii) Show that AT-graphs have no NST.

An  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -graph  $G$  with bipartition  $(A, B)$  is called *divisible* if it contains two disjoint  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -subgraphs, i.e. there are partitions  $A = A_1 \dot{\cup} A_2$  and  $B = B_1 \dot{\cup} B_2$  such that  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$  are both again  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -graphs.

5. (i)<sup>-</sup> Show that a  $T_2$  with  $\aleph_1$  tops is divisible.  
(ii) Show that every  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -minor of a  $T_2$  with  $\aleph_1$  tops is divisible.

The *colouring number* of a graph  $G$  is the smallest cardinal  $\kappa$  such that there is a well-ordering  $V(G) = \{v_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\}$  in which every vertex  $v_\alpha$  has strictly fewer than  $\kappa$  many neighbours amongst the earlier  $v_\beta$  with  $\beta < \alpha$ . In particular, a graph  $G$  has countable colouring number if there is a well-ordering  $V(G) = \{v_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\}$  such that every vertex  $v_\alpha$  has only finitely many neighbours amongst the earlier  $v_\beta$  with  $\beta < \alpha$  (but may have infinitely many later neighbours). This generalizes the concept *Reihenzahl* from the colouring chapter in Diestel's book.

- 6.<sup>+</sup> Show that a graph has a normal spanning tree if and only if each minor has countable colouring number.

*Optional:*

- 7.<sup>+</sup> Construct, under the Continuum Hypothesis, an indivisible  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -graph.
- 8.<sup>++</sup> Prove or disprove that every graph with an end containing uncountably many disjoint rays contains an  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -graph as a minor.

### Hinweise

1. Apply a suitable result from the lectures.
- 2.
3. To prove Fodor's Lemma, use that every countable subset of  $\omega_1$  has a supremum  $< \omega_1$ . Use the special case of Fodor's Lemma to show that every  $\omega_1$ -graph has uncountably many vertices of uncountable degree. Then construct the desired  $TK^{\aleph_1}$  inductively.
4. Levels and separators of the form  $\lceil t \rceil$ .
5. Which vertices have uncountably many tops above them? For (ii), study how the branch sets of an  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -minor can lie in a binary tree with tops. Find a place where the latter splits that also splits the minor.
- 6.<sup>+</sup> Use the Diestel-Leader Theorem. To check that AT-graphs have uncountable colouring number, note that Fodor's lemma from Q2 remains true for regressive functions with domain all limit ordinals.
- 7.<sup>+</sup> A *free ultrafilter* on  $\mathbb{N}$  is a collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is closed under pairwise intersection such that for every bipartition  $\mathbb{N} = A_1 \dot{\cup} A_2$ , either  $A_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $A_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  (they exist by Zorn's lemma). Using *CH*, enumerate  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and build an  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -graph  $(\mathbb{N}, B)$  with  $B = \{b_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  satisfying that  $N(b_\alpha)$  is contained, up to finitely many vertices, in each  $U_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$  (note there are countably many such  $\beta$ ).
- 8.<sup>++</sup> A related question is whether it must contain a subdivision of the cartesian product of an uncountable star with a ray? (This is a well-known problem due to Halin)