

# The Pascalian Notion of Infinity – what does “infinite distance” mean?

João F. N. Cortese

Graduate student

Department of Philosophy - University of São Paulo

Financial support: CNPq

Foundations of the Formal Sciences VIII  
Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, 22th September 2013

# Aims

- Main goal: to compare the notion of **infinite distance** in Pascal's projective geometry works and in the apologetic context of *Pensées*
- **Heterogeneity** in mathematical works and in *Pensées* (Gardies 1984, Magnard 1992)



Blaise Pascal (1623-1662)

## Aims

- Main goal: to compare the notion of **infinite distance** in Pascal's projective geometry works and in the apologetic context of *Pensées*
- **Heterogeneity** in mathematical works and in *Pensées* (Gardies 1984, Magnard 1992)



Blaise Pascal (1623-1662)

# Aims

- To analyze Pascal's contribution to the XVIIth century discussion on infinity. If on the one hand Pascal accepts Nature as infinite, on the other hand he does a **negative use** of infinity – this is reflected in the notion of *infinite distance*

## Methodological remarks

- I do not intend to say that the fragments of *Pensées* can be perfectly translated into mathematical language. Instead, what I am inquiring into is about abstract models from which both Pascal's mathematic works and his apologetics could share common features (Serres 1968)
- Pascal does not define *infinity* or *infinite distance*. Differently from other philosophers of the XVIIth century, Pascal does not have a philosophical system and neither an explicit definition of his concepts
- I refer to Pascal's and Desargues' works as in the domain of "projective geometry", even if there are controversies about whether we can call XVIIth century works properly as projective geometry works

## Methodological remarks

- I do not intend to say that the fragments of *Pensées* can be perfectly translated into mathematical language. Instead, what I am inquiring into is about abstract models from which both Pascal's mathematic works and his apologetics could share common features (Serres 1968)
- Pascal does not define *infinity* or *infinite distance*. Differently from other philosophers of the XVIIth century, Pascal does not have a philosophical system and neither an explicit definition of his concepts
- I refer to Pascal's and Desargues' works as in the domain of "projective geometry", even if there are controversies about whether we can call XVIIth century works properly as projective geometry works

## Methodological remarks

- I do not intend to say that the fragments of *Pensées* can be perfectly translated into mathematical language. Instead, what I am inquiring into is about abstract models from which both Pascal's mathematic works and his apologetics could share common features (Serres 1968)
- Pascal does not define *infinity* or *infinite distance*. Differently from other philosophers of the XVIIth century, Pascal does not have a philosophical system and neither an explicit definition of his concepts
- I refer to Pascal's and Desargues' works as in the domain of "projective geometry", even if there are controversies about whether we can call XVIIth century works properly as projective geometry works

# Content

- 1 Infinite distance in Pensées
- 2 Projective geometry
- 3 Heterogeneity
- 4 Conclusions



## Fundamental passages of *Pensées*

“Let us therefore examine this point, and say: God is, or is not. But towards which side will we lean? Reason cannot decide anything here. There is an **infinite chaos** separating us. At the extremity of this **infinite distance** a game is being played and the coin will come down heads or tails. What will you wager? Reason cannot make you choose one way or the other; reason cannot make you defend either of the two choices” (Sel. 680, Laf. 418).

“The **infinite distance** between body and mind [*esprit*] points to [*figure*] the **infinitely more infinite distance** between mind and charity; for it is supernatural” (Sel. 339, Laf. 308).

## Fundamental passages of *Pensées*

“Let us therefore examine this point, and say: God is, or is not. But towards which side will we lean? Reason cannot decide anything here. There is an **infinite chaos** separating us. At the extremity of this **infinite distance** a game is being played and the coin will come down heads or tails. What will you wager? Reason cannot make you choose one way or the other; reason cannot make you defend either of the two choices” (Sel. 680, Laf. 418).

“The **infinite distance** between body and mind [*esprit*] points to [*figure*] the **infinitely more infinite distance** between mind and charity; for it is supernatural” (Sel. 339, Laf. 308).

## *Disproportion of man (Sel. 230, Laf. 199)*

“What is man in infinity? (...) For in the end what is man in nature? A nothingness compared to the infinite, everything compared to a nothingness, a mid-point between nothing and everything, **infinitely far** [*infiniment éloigné*] from comprehending the extremes; (...) Within the scope of this infinities all finites are equal, and I do not see why we settle our imagination on one rather than the other. Simply comparing ourselves to the finite distresses us”.

Since the Fall, man is lost between the infinity of greatness and infinity of smallness. Pascal sees **a relation between the two infinities**

There is no type of proportion that can measure man in relation to anything within nature

## Pascal's works on projective geometry

- *L'essai pour les coniques* (1640)
- The lost *Traité des coniques*. What lasted:
  - Letter to the *Celleberrimae Matheseos Academiae Parisiensi* (1654)
  - Letter from Leibniz to Étienne Périer (1676)
  - *Generatio Conisectionum*

## Influences: Desargues' projective geometry

- March 1639: *Brouillon project d'une Atteinte aux evenemens des rencontres du cone avec un plan*
- Baroque writing style – severely criticized
- Introduction of elements at infinite distance
- Mesnard (1994): Desargues created a “geometry of infinity” when he applied the idea of infinity to pure geometry
- Parallel lines meet at infinity
- The extremities of a line meet at infinity
- Elements at infinity allowed a generalization of the study of the conic sections
- Desargues was the first to treat points at infinity as entirely ordinary points, even if Kepler had also introduced points at infinity (Field 1994)

## Influences: Desargues' projective geometry

- March 1639: *Brouillon project d'une Atteinte aux evenemens des rencontres du cone avec un plan*
- Baroque writing style – severely criticized
- Introduction of elements at infinite distance
- Mesnard (1994): Desargues created a “geometry of infinity” when he applied the idea of infinity to pure geometry
- Parallel lines meet at infinity
- The extremities of a line meet at infinity
- Elements at infinity allowed a generalization of the study of the conic sections
- Desargues was the first to treat points at infinity as entirely ordinary points, even if Kepler had also introduced points at infinity (Field 1994)

## Influences: Desargues' projective geometry

- March 1639: *Brouillon project d'une Atteinte aux evenemens des rencontres du cone avec un plan*
- Baroque writing style – severely criticized
- Introduction of elements at infinite distance
- Mesnard (1994): Desargues created a “geometry of infinity” when he applied the idea of infinity to pure geometry
- Parallel lines meet at infinity
- The extremities of a line meet at infinity
- Elements at infinity allowed a generalization of the study of the conic sections
- Desargues was the first to treat points at infinity as entirely ordinary points, even if Kepler had also introduced points at infinity (Field 1994)

1639.

## AVEC PRIVILEGE.

BROVILLON PROJET D'VNE ATTEINTE AVX  
rencontres du Cone avec un Plan, Par L, S, G, D, L.

U ne fera pas malaisé de faire icy la distinction necessaire d'entre les impositions de nom, autrement definitions, les propositions, les demonstrations, quand elles sont en suite. Et les autres especes de discours non plus que de choisir entre les figures celle qui à raport au periode qu'on lit, ou de faire ces figures sur le discours.

Noms imposés

Chacun pensera ce qui luy semblera convenable ou de ce qui est icy deduit, ou de la maniere de le deduire, & verra que la raison essaye à cognoistre des quantitez infinies d'une part: ensemble de celles qui s'apertissent iusques à reduire leurs deux extremitiez oppoſtes en vne seule, & que l'entendement s'y pert, non seulement à cause de leurs imaginables grandeur & petitesse, mais encore à cause que le raisonnement ordinaire le conduit à en conclure des proprietiez, d'où il est incapable de comprendre comment, c'est qu'elles sont.

Icy toute ligne droicte est entendûe alongée au besoin à l'infiny d'une part & d'autre.

Vn semblable alongement à distance infinie d'une part & d'autre en vne droicte, est icy representé par vne rangée de poincts alignez d'une part & d'autre en suite de cette droicte.

Pour donner à entendre de plusieurs lignes droictes, qu'elles sont toutes entre-elles où bien paralleles, où bien inclinées à mesme point. Il est icy dict, que toutes ces droictes sont d'une mesme ordonnance entre elles, par où son conceura de ces plusieurs droictes, qu'en l'une aussi bien qu'en l'autre de ces deux especes de position elles tendent toutes à vn mesme endroit.

Ordonnance de lignes droictes.

L'endroict auquel on conçoit que tendent ainsi plusieurs droictes en l'une aussi bien qu'en l'autre de ces deux especes de position, est icy nommé, *but*, de l'ordonnance de ces droictes.

But, d'une ordonnance de droictes.

Pour donner à entendre l'espece de position d'entre plusieurs droictes en laquelle elles sont toutes paralleles entre elles, il est icy dict, que toutes ces droictes sont entre elles d'une mesme ordonnance, dont le but est à distance infinie en chacune d'elles d'une part & d'autre.

Pour donner à entendre l'espece de position d'entre plusieurs droictes, en laquelle elles sont toutes inclinées à vn mesme point, il est icy dict, que toutes ces droictes sont entre elles d'une mesme ordonnance, dont le but est à distance finie en chacune d'elles.

Ainsi deux quelconques droictes en vn mesme Plan, sont entre elles d'une mesme ordonnance, dont le but est à distance ou finie, ou infinie.

Icy tout Plan est entendû pareillement étendu de toutes parts à l'infiny.

Vn semblable étendu d'un Plan à l'infini de toutes parts, est icy representé par vn nombre de poincts semez de toutes parts aux extremitiez du Plan.

Pour donner à entendre de plusieurs Plans, qu'ils sont tous entre eux ou bien parallels, ou bien inclinés à vne mesme droicte, il est icy dict, que tous ces Plans sont entre eux d'une mesme ordonnance, par où l'on conceuera de ces plusieurs Plans qu'en l'une aussi bien qu'en l'autre de ces deux especes de position, ils tendent tous à vn mesme endroit.

Ordonnance de Plans.

L'endroit auquel on conçoit que tendent ainsi plusieurs Plans en l'une aussi bien qu'en l'autre de ces deux especes de position, est icy nommé, *but*, de l'ordonnance de ces Plans.

But, d'une ordonnance de Plans.

Pour donner à entendre l'espece de position d'entre plusieurs Plans, en laquelle ils sont tous parallels entre eux, il est icy dict que tous ces Plans sont entre eux d'une mesme ordonnance, dont le but est à distance infinie en chacune d'elles d'une part & d'autre.



## Some elements of Pascal's projective geometry

All conic sections are considered as projections of the circle.

Pascal exposes six kinds of conic sections: point, line, angle, antobola [ellipse], parabola, hyperbola

## *Generatio conisectionum* (OC, II, pp. 1008-1119)

- 3rd definition: Two or more lines are concurrent if they intersect at the same point, at finite or infinite distance [*ad distantiam vel finitam, [...] vel infinitam*]
- 2nd definition: A line is said to tend towards a point when, being sufficiently extended, it reaches that point; and a line is said to be conducted or to tend towards a point on another line at infinite distance [*ad distantiam infinitam*] when it is parallel to the second line
- 5th definition: An infinite line conducted on the plane of a conic, that does not meet the conic except at an infinite distance [*nisi ad distantiam infinitam*] (...) is said to be an asymptote
- Asymptotes should be considered as tangents at an infinite distance [*ad distantiam infinitam*]

## *Generatio conisectionum* (OC, II, pp. 1008-1119)

- 3rd definition: Two or more lines are concurrent if they intersect at the same point, at finite or infinite distance [*ad distantiam vel finitam, [...] vel infinitam*]
- 2nd definition: A line is said to tend towards a point when, being sufficiently extended, it reaches that point; and a line is said to be conducted or to tend towards a point on another line at infinite distance [*ad distantiam infinitam*] when it is parallel to the second line
- 5th definition: An infinite line conducted on the plane of a conic, that does not meet the conic except at an infinite distance [*nisi ad distantiam infinitam*] (...) is said to be an asymptote
- Asymptotes should be considered as tangents at an infinite distance [*ad distantiam infinitam*]

## An infinite closed line

- In Desargues' projective geometry, the two extremities of an infinite line meet one another
- Pascal appropriates this idea in *Pensées*: "These extremes touch and join by force of distance, meeting in God and God alone" (Sel. 230, Laf. 199). The point where both infinities meet is the position of God
- *Pensées*: "Jesus Christ is the object of everything, and the centre to which everything tends. Whoever knows him knows the reason for everything" (Sel. 690, Laf. 449).

## An infinite closed line

- In Desargues' projective geometry, the two extremities of an infinite line meet one another
- Pascal appropriates this idea in *Pensées*: "These extremes touch and join by force of distance, meeting in God and God alone" (Sel. 230, Laf. 199). The point where both infinities meet is the position of God
- *Pensées*: "Jesus Christ is the object of everything, and the centre to which everything tends. Whoever knows him knows the reason for everything" (Sel. 690, Laf. 449).

## An infinite closed line

- In Desargues' projective geometry, the two extremities of an infinite line meet one another
- Pascal appropriates this idea in *Pensées*: "These extremes touch and join by force of distance, meeting in God and God alone" (Sel. 230, Laf. 199). The point where both infinities meet is the position of God
- *Pensées*: "Jesus Christ is the object of everything, and the centre to which everything tends. Whoever knows him knows the reason for everything" (Sel. 690, Laf. 449).

# Heterogeneity

Book V of the *Elements*, definitions 3 and 4  
Eudoxus Axiom / Archimedian Property

Pascal, *De l'esprit géométrique*: "Euclid defines in this way the homogeneous magnitudes: 'Magnitudes, he says, are said to be of the same genre, when one of them is capable, when several times multiplied, of exceeding the other'" (OC, III, p. 408).

**Heterogeneous magnitudes** cannot exceed one another

# Heterogeneity

Book V of the *Elements*, definitions 3 and 4  
Eudoxus Axiom / Archimedian Property

Pascal, *De l'esprit géométrique*: "Euclid defines in this way the homogeneous magnitudes: 'Magnitudes, he says, are said to be of the same genre, when one of them is capable, when several times multiplied, of exceeding the other'" (OC, III, p. 408).

**Heterogeneous magnitudes** cannot exceed one another



# Heterogeneity

Book V of the *Elements*, definitions 3 and 4  
Eudoxus Axiom / Archimedian Property

Pascal, *De l'esprit géométrique*: "Euclid defines in this way the homogeneous magnitudes: 'Magnitudes, he says, are said to be of the same genre, when one of them is capable, when several times multiplied, of exceeding the other'" (OC, III, p. 408).

**Heterogeneous magnitudes** cannot exceed one another

## Heterogeneity in Pascal's mathematical works

“In this way, points do not add anything to lines, lines to areas, areas to solids, or, *to employ the language of numbers in a treatise devoted to numbers*, the roots do not count in relation to squares, squares to cubes, cubes to *carro-carrés*, etc. Thus the lower degrees should be neglected as lacking any value” (*Potestatum numericarum summa*).

## Different orders in Pensées

- “Out of all bodies together we could not succeed in making one little thought. It is impossible, and of another order. Out of all bodies and minds we could not draw one impulse of true charity. It is impossible, and of another, supernatural, order” (Sel. 339, Laf. 308).
- The difference between the three orders bears a resemblance to mathematical heterogeneity: all elements of a lower order are nothing with regard to those of a higher one
- One can think about different orders of infinity

## Different orders in Pensées

- “Out of all bodies together we could not succeed in making one little thought. It is impossible, and of another order. Out of all bodies and minds we could not draw one impulse of true charity. It is impossible, and of another, supernatural, order” (Sel. 339, Laf. 308).
- The difference between the three orders bears a resemblance to mathematical heterogeneity: all elements of a lower order are nothing with regard to those of a higher one
- One can think about different orders of infinity

## Different orders in Pensées

- “Out of all bodies together we could not succeed in making one little thought. It is impossible, and of another order. Out of all bodies and minds we could not draw one impulse of true charity. It is impossible, and of another, supernatural, order” (Sel. 339, Laf. 308).
- The difference between the three orders bears a resemblance to mathematical heterogeneity: all elements of a lower order are nothing with regard to those of a higher one
- One can think about different orders of infinity

## Pascal and the actual infinite

Cantor attributed to Pascal one of the first attempts to make explicit the concept of actual infinity

“We know that there is an infinite, but we do not know its nature; as we know that it is false that numbers are finite, so therefore it is true that there is an infinite number, but we do not know what it is: it is false that it is even and false that it is odd, for by adding a unit it does not change its nature; however it is a number, and all numbers are even or odd (it is true that this applies to all finite numbers).

So we can clearly understand that there is a God without knowing what he is.” (Sel. 680, Laf. 418)

## A boat going to the horizon

- *De l'esprit géométrique*: If we regard with a telescope a boat that always goes away, it never arrives to the horizon, and its image never arrives at the extremity of the telescope
- Relation between the infinity of greatness and the infinity of smallness
- Relation between infinity and the infinitely far

## A boat going to the horizon

- *De l'esprit géométrique*: If we regard with a telescope a boat that always goes away, it never arrives to the horizon, and its image never arrives at the extremity of the telescope
- Relation between the infinity of greatness and the infinity of smallness
- Relation between infinity and the infinitely far



## A boat going to the horizon

- *De l'esprit géométrique*: If we regard with a telescope a boat that always goes away, it never arrives to the horizon, and its image never arrives at the extremity of the telescope
- Relation between the infinity of greatness and the infinity of smallness
- Relation between infinity and the infinitely far

## Summarising

- Projective geometry's elements at infinity (referred to as at *infinite distances*) seem to relate to Pascal's notion of infinite distance in *Pensées*
- The notion of infinite distance in *Pensées* also bears a relation to the concept of *heterogeneity*, on which Pascal reflected later on his works
- While infinite distance in projective geometry allows a generalization in the study of the conic sections, infinite distance in *Pensées* is a *figure* of disproportion and of heterogeneity

## Summarising

- Projective geometry's elements at infinity (referred to as at *infinite distances*) seem to relate to Pascal's notion of infinite distance in *Pensées*
- The notion of infinite distance in *Pensées* also bears a relation to the concept of *heterogeneity*, on which Pascal reflected later on his works
- While infinite distance in projective geometry allows a generalization in the study of the conic sections, infinite distance in *Pensées* is a *figure* of disproportion and of heterogeneity

## Summarising

- Projective geometry's elements at infinity (referred to as at *infinite distances*) seem to relate to Pascal's notion of infinite distance in *Pensées*
- The notion of infinite distance in *Pensées* also bears a relation to the concept of *heterogeneity*, on which Pascal reflected later on his works
- While infinite distance in projective geometry allows a generalization in the study of the conic sections, infinite distance in *Pensées* is a *figure* of disproportion and of heterogeneity

# Infinity for Pascal and the context of the XVIIth century

- XVIIth century discussions on infinity as an attribute of God, as the possible size of the universe and as the infinitely small in mathematics
- For Pascal, the notion of an *infinite distance* allows generalizations in projective geometry with the introduction of new elements
- **But in apologetics infinity has also a negative use:** man is at an *infinite distance* from any reference outside God, the three orders are infinitely distant from one another

# Infinity for Pascal and the context of the XVIIth century

- XVIIth century discussions on infinity as an attribute of God, as the possible size of the universe and as the infinitely small in mathematics
- For Pascal, the notion of an *infinite distance* allows generalizations in projective geometry with the introduction of new elements
- **But in apologetics infinity has also a negative use:** man is at an *infinite distance* from any reference outside God, the three orders are infinitely distant from one another

# Infinity for Pascal and the context of the XVIIth century

- XVIIth century discussions on infinity as an attribute of God, as the possible size of the universe and as the infinitely small in mathematics
- For Pascal, the notion of an *infinite distance* allows generalizations in projective geometry with the introduction of new elements
- **But in apologetics infinity has also a negative use:** man is at an *infinite distance* from any reference outside God, the three orders are infinitely distant from one another

# References

- Carraud, V. (1992). *Pascal et la philosophie*. Paris: PUF.
- Field, J. V. (1994). The infinitely great and the infinitely small in the work of girard desargues. In *Dhombres, J. and Sakarovitch, J. (ed.). Desargues en son temps*. Paris : A. Blanchard.
- Gardies, J.-L. (1984). *Pascal entre Eudoxe et Cantor*. Paris : Vrin.
- Hara, K. (1984). Nouvelles observations sur les écrits mathématiques de pascal. *Historia Scientiarum* 26, 1 – 17.
- Magnard, P. (1992). La pensée moderne à l'épreuve de l'infini. In *Monnoyeur (ed.). Infini des mathématiciens, infini des philosophes*. Paris : Belin.
- Merker, C. (2001). *Le chant du cygne des indivisibles – le calcul intégral dans la dernière oeuvre scientifique de Pascal*. Besançon: Presses universitaires de Franche-Comté.
- Mesnard, J. (1994). Desargues et pascal. In *Dhombres, J. and Sakarovitch, J. (ed.). Desargues en son temps*. Paris : A. Blanchard.
- Pascal, B. (1970). *Oeuvres complètes. Texte établi, présenté et annoté par Jean Mesnard*. Paris: Desclée de Brouwer. Volumes II (1970), III (1991) et IV (1992).
- Pascal, B. (1995). *Pensées and other writings*. Translated by Honor Levi. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pascal, B. (2000). *Pensées. Texte établi par Phillippe Sellier. Présentation et notes par Gérard Ferreyrolles*. Paris: Le livre de poche.
- Serres, M. (1968). *Le système de Leibniz et ses modèles mathématiques*. Paris: P.U.F.
- Taton, R. (1962). L'oeuvre de pascal en géométrie projective. *Revue d'histoire des sciences et de leurs applications* Tome 15, n° 3-4, 197–252.



# Thank you

joacortese@gmail.com