

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM Institute for Logic, Language and Computation

## Core Logic 2005/2006; 1st Semester dr Benedikt Löwe

## Homework Set #10

Deadline: November 22nd, 2005

Exercise 32 (total of seven points).

Let  $\mathcal{L} := \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, -\}$  be the language of Boolean algebras and  $\Phi_{BA}$  be the axioms of Boolean algebras. Let

$$\begin{split} \varphi &:= \quad \forall x \forall y \bigg( \big( (x \neq x \cdot y) \land (y \neq x \cdot y) \big) \to (x \cdot y = 0) \bigg), \\ \psi &:= \quad \exists x \big( (x \neq 0) \land (x \neq 1) \big). \end{split}$$

Let  $\Phi_0$ ,  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_2$ , and  $\Phi_3$  be the deductive closures of  $\Phi_{BA}$ ,  $\Phi_{BA} \cup \{\neg\psi\}$ ,  $\Phi_{BA} \cup \{\varphi\}$ , and  $\Phi_{BA} \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$ , respectively. Investigate whether  $\Phi_i$  is a complete theory. If it isn't, give a formula  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \notin \Phi_i$  and  $\neg \sigma \notin \Phi_i$ . If it is complete, give a brief argument why. (1 point each for  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_1$ , 2 points for  $\Phi_2$ , 3 points for  $\Phi_3$ .)

## Exercise 33 (total of three points).

Give the names of the following logicians and mathematicians (1 point each):

- X was one of the students of David Hilbert who was a teacher at the *Gymnasium* Arnoldinum from 1929 to 1948.
- Y was an important figure in the history of the *Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung*. He was married to the granddaughter of Hegel, and is popularly known for the "Y bottle", a two-dimensional manifold not embeddable into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Z received his PhD degree in 1924 at the UvA for a thesis entitled *Intuitionistische axiomatiek der projectieve meetkunde* and was the PhD supervisor of a (retired) ILLC member.

(*One extra point:* What is the canonical webpage for finding information about supervisor-student relations in mathematics?)

## Exercise 34 (total of five points).

Let  $\mathbf{P} := \langle P, \leq \rangle$  be a **partial preorder** (*i.e.*,  $\leq$  is a reflexive and transitive relation). For  $x, y \in P$ , define  $x \equiv y$  by  $x \leq y \& y \leq x$ . Show that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation (1½ points). Let  $D := P/\equiv$  be the set of  $\equiv$ -equivalence classes. For  $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \in D$ , define  $\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{e}$  if and only if there are  $x \in \mathbf{d}$  and  $y \in \mathbf{e}$  such that  $x \leq y$ . Show that this is well-defined (2 points) and that  $\langle D, \leq \rangle$  is a partial order (1½ points).

Exercise 35 (total of seven points).

- (1) Find wellorders W and W<sup>\*</sup> such that  $W \oplus W^*$  is not isomorphic to  $W^* \oplus W$  and explain why (2 points).
- (2) Similarly, find wellorders W and W<sup>\*</sup> such that  $W \otimes W^*$  is not isomorphic to  $W^* \otimes W$  and explain why (2 points).
- (3) In the first two tasks, you can choose one wellorder to be finite. Why can't both wellorders be finite in such an example (1 point)?
- (4) Consider  $\mathbf{L} := \langle \mathbb{Q}, \leq \rangle$  to be the rational numbers with the usual ordering. Find out whether  $\mathbf{L} \oplus \mathbf{L}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}$  and give an argument (2 points).

**Hint.** The Cantor Isomorphism Theorem (sometimes called "back-and-forth theorem") for countable linear orders may help. If you use it, you don't have to prove it, but please state it clearly with a proper reference to the literature and make sure that you apply it properly.