



# Advanced Topics in Set Theory

2003/2004; 1st Semester  
dr Benedikt Löwe

Homework Set # 4.

Deadline: November 11th, 2003

**Exercise 14** (Lifting measures to ultrapowers).

This exercise is related to **Exercise 13**. We write  $\kappa \rightarrow (\text{club})^\kappa$  for the **strong club partition property**: If  $\chi : [\kappa]^\kappa \rightarrow 2$  is a partition then there is a club set  $C \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa$  such that  $\chi$  is constant on  $[C]^\kappa$ . The strong club partition property is a strengthening of the strong partition relation  $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)^\kappa$  and violates the Axiom of Choice. So make sure that you don't use it in this exercise.

Assume that  $\kappa$  has the strong club partition property, that  $U$  is an ultrafilter on  $\kappa$ , and that  $\text{Ult}(\kappa, U)$  is wellfounded. Then  $\text{Ult}(\kappa, U)$  is isomorphic to an ordinal  $\lambda > \kappa$ . Prove that **lift**( $U$ ), called the **lift of  $U$  by itself**, and defined by

$$A \in \mathbf{lift}(U) \iff \exists C \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa \forall f \in C^\kappa ([f]_U \in A)$$

is an ultrafilter on  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise 15** (Round brackets and square brackets).

Let  $\lambda < \kappa$ ,  $\mu \leq \kappa$ . Prove that  $\kappa \rightarrow (\mu)_\lambda^{<\omega}$  implies  $\kappa \rightarrow [\mu]_{\lambda, <\omega_1}^{<\omega}$ .

**Exercise 16** (Ramsey cardinals and infinitary Chang Conjectures).

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  be the language of set theory augmented with a distinguished predicate symbol  $\dot{P}$  and  $\lambda$  many constant symbols  $\langle \dot{c}_\alpha ; \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ . By the  **$\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  version of  $\langle \kappa, \xi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mu, < \nu \rangle$**  we mean the statement

“every  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  structure  $\langle X, Y, \langle x_\alpha ; \alpha < \lambda \rangle \rangle$  with  $\text{Card}(X) = \kappa$  and  $\text{Card}(Y) = \xi$  has an elementary substructure  $\langle X^*, Y^*, \langle x_\alpha ; \alpha < \lambda \rangle \rangle$  such that  $\text{Card}(X^*) = \mu$  and  $\text{Card}(Y^*) < \nu$ ”.

Prove that if  $\kappa$  is Ramsey, then for all  $\lambda < \kappa$ , the  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  version of  $\langle \kappa, \lambda^+ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa, < \lambda^+ \rangle$  holds.

**Hint.** You may use without proof that  $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)_\lambda^{<\omega}$  for all  $\lambda < \kappa$  (Kanamori, Proposition 7.14 (c)). Develop a notion of Skolem function for the infinitary language  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  and follow the idea of Rowbottom's Skolemization Theorem with **Exercise 15** in mind. Note that this exercise is close to Kanamori, Theorem 8.4.

**Exercise 17** (Measurable cardinals and the size of  $\mathbf{L}$ ).

Assume that there is a proper class of measurable cardinals. Then every regular cardinal in  $\mathbf{V}$  is inaccessible in  $\mathbf{L}$ .

**Hint.** Use **Exercise 16** and the proof idea of “If there is a Rowbottom cardinal, then  $\omega_1^{\mathbf{L}}$  is countable” to show that if  $\kappa$  is Ramsey and  $\lambda < \kappa$ , then  $\text{Card}(\wp^{\mathbf{L}}(\lambda)) = \text{Card}(\lambda)$ . Compare Kanamori, Corollary 8.5.