



# Advanced Topics in Set Theory

2003/2004; 1st Semester  
dr Benedikt Löwe

Homework Set # 3.

Deadline: October 28th, 2003

**Exercise 8** (Relative constructibility) [*Repeated from Set # 2*].

Let  $x$  be a real number (pick your favourite set-theoretic concept of “real number”: Dedekind cuts, Cauchy sequences, subsets of  $\omega$ , *etc.*). Show that  $\mathbf{L}(x) = \mathbf{L}[x]$ . Furthermore, argue why this result doesn’t depend on the choice of the concept of “real number” you chose.

**Exercise 9** (The Continuum and  $\aleph_2$ ).

Prove using relative constructibility that if  $\mathbf{ZFC} \vdash 2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_2$ , then  $\mathbf{ZFC} \vdash \mathbf{CH}$ . (Of course, Cohen has proved in 1963 that the antecedent of this implication is false, so the exercise has a trivial solution. Do not use this fact. You may use the result that for  $A \subseteq \kappa^+$ , we have that  $\mathbf{L}[A] \models 2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ .)

**Hint.** Assume  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$ . Find an appropriate  $A \subseteq \aleph_2$  such that  $\mathbf{L}[A] \models 2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$ .

**Exercise 10** (Measurable cardinals and relativized constructibility).

Let  $\kappa$  be the least measurable cardinal and  $A \subseteq \kappa$ . Show that  $\mathbf{V} \neq \mathbf{L}(A)$ .

**Exercise 11** (More on strong cardinals).

Remember from **Exercise 6** that a cardinal  $\kappa$  is called  $\kappa + \alpha$ -**strong** if there is an elementary embedding  $j : \mathbf{V} \prec M$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathbf{V}_{\kappa+\alpha} \subseteq M$ . We call a cardinal **strong** if it is  $\alpha$ -strong for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . (Note that the embeddings witnessing  $\alpha$ -strength can be different from each other.)

Formulate and prove extensions of **Exercise 10** for  $\alpha$ -strong and strong cardinals.

**Exercise 12** (Weakly compact cardinals and linear orderings).

Let  $\kappa$  be weakly compact, *i.e.*,  $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)_2^2$ . Let  $A \subseteq \kappa$  and let  $\preceq$  be a linear ordering on  $A$ . Show that there is a subset  $B \subseteq A$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  such that either  $\langle B, \preceq \rangle$  or  $\langle B, \succeq \rangle$  is wellordered.

**Exercise 13** (Infinitary partition relations and ultrafilters).

Let  $\lambda < \kappa$  be regular, and assume that for all  $\gamma < \kappa$ , we have  $\kappa \rightarrow (\kappa)_\gamma^\lambda$ . (Note that this assumption contradicts the Axiom of Choice, so be sure to work in  $\mathbf{ZF}$  in this exercise.)

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_\kappa^\lambda := \{X \subseteq \kappa; \exists C \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa (C \cap \{\xi; \text{cf}(\xi) = \lambda\} \subseteq X)\}$$

the so-called  $\lambda$ -**club filter** on  $\kappa$ . Show that under the assumption of the above partition relation, it is a  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter.

**Hint.** If  $\langle X_\alpha; \alpha < \gamma \rangle$  is a partition of  $\kappa$ , let  $H$  be a homogeneous set for the colouring  $\chi : [\kappa]^\lambda \rightarrow \gamma$  with

$$\chi(S) = \alpha \iff \sup S \in X_\alpha.$$

Show that  $C := \{\xi; \sup(H \cap \xi) = \xi \ \& \ \text{cf}(\xi) = \lambda\}$  witnesses that some  $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa^\lambda$ .