The geometry of hyperbolic polynomials

David Lindemann

Aarhus University Department of Mathematics

26. October 2022

- 1 Introduction & motivation
- 2 Classification results & moduli spaces
- 3 Outlook

Main references:

"Properties of the moduli set of complete connected projective special real manifolds" (DL, 2019), arxiv:1907.06791, "Special geometry of quartic curves" (DL, 2022), arxiv:2206.12524, "Special homogeneous curves" (DL, 2022), arxiv:2208.06890, "Special homogeneous surfaces" (preliminary title, DL & A.S. Swann, 2022)

Hyperbolic polynomials

Definition

A homogeneous polynomial $h : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called **hyperbolic** if $\exists p \in \{h > 0\}$, such that $-\partial^2 h_p$ has **Minkowski signature**. Such a point p is called **hyperbolic** point of h.

- two hyperbolic polynomials h, \tilde{h} equivalent : $\Leftrightarrow \exists A \in GL(n+1)$, such that $A^* \tilde{h} = h$
- there is precisely **one** equivalence class of **quadratic** hyperbolic polynomials in each dimension
- there is no general classification for higher degree $deg(h) \ge 3$

Example 1: $h = x^4 - x^2(y^2 + z^2) - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}xy^3$, plot of level set $\{h = 1\}$



Hyperbolic polynomials

Example 2: Zero set $\{h = 0\}$ of two Weierstraß cubics with positive and negative discriminant



Projective special real manifolds & generalisations

• $hyp(h) \coloneqq$ cone of hyperbolic points of h

Definition

For h a hyperbolic polynomial of degree $\tau \geq 3,$ a hypersurface

 $\mathcal{H} \subset \{h = 1\} \cap \mathrm{hyp}(h)$

is called **projective special real (PSR)** manifold for $\tau = 3$, and **generalised PSR (GPSR)** manifold for $\tau \ge 4$.

- two (G)PSR mfds. $\mathcal{H}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ equivalent : $\Leftrightarrow \exists A \in GL(n+1)$, s.t. $A(\mathcal{H}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$
- $\mathcal{H} \subset \{h = 1\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \subset \{\widetilde{h} = 1\}$ equivalent $\Rightarrow h, \widetilde{h}$ equivalent, the converse is in general not true
- (G)PSR mfds. carry a natural **Riemannian metric** $g = -\partial^2 h|_{T\mathcal{H} \times T\mathcal{H}}$

Example 3: h = xyz, $\{h = 1\}$ is a **homogeneous & flat** PSR manifold



Why study hyperbolic polynomials?

Geometry of Kähler cones [DP'04, W'04, TW'11]:

• for X a compact Kähler τ -fold, the homogeneous polynomial

$$h: H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad [\omega] \mapsto \int_X \omega^{\tau},$$

is hyperbolic since every point in the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is hyperbolic by the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations

- $\mathcal{H} \coloneqq \{h = 1\} \cap \mathcal{K} \text{ is a } (\mathbf{G}) \mathbf{PSR} \text{ manifold for } \tau \geq 3$
- in general, \mathcal{H} is not a connected component of $\{h = 1\} \cap hyp(h)$

$$H^{**}(X;\mathbb{R})$$

Why study hyperbolic polynomials?

Explicit constructions of special Kähler and quaternionic Kähler manifolds:

- supergravity r-map constructs from given PSR manifold \mathcal{H} a projective special Kähler (PSK) manifold $M \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1} + i \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \mathcal{H}$ [DV'92, CHM'12]
- supergravity c-map constructs from given PSK manifold M a (non-compact) quaternionic Kähler manifold N ≅ M × ℝ²ⁿ⁺⁵ × ℝ_{>0} [FS'90]
- above constructions preserve geodesic completeness



Why study hyperbolic polynomials?

Real algebraic geometry:

- study of real polynomials one of the defining problems of classical algebraic geometry, study of cubics goes back to Newton [N]
- real polynomials h only classified up to degree 2
- Example: homogeneous quadratic polynomials in n variables $\stackrel{1:1}{\leftrightarrow}$ bilinear forms on \mathbb{R}^n equivalent to precisely one of

$$x_1^2 + \ldots + x_{\ell}^2 - x_{\ell+1}^2 - \ldots - x_m^2, \quad 0 \le \ell \le m \le n$$

• even when restricting to hyperbolic polynomials and restricting dimension n or degree deg(h), no general classification in almost all cases

→ need restrictions based on the geometry of associated (G)PSR manifolds

Main tasks:

Classifying hyperbolic polynomials

Goals:

- find canonical representatives for hyperbolic polynomials under linear coordinate change
- understand the symmetry groups of hyperbolic polynomials
- count (inequivalent) c.c.'s of associated (G)PSR mfds. $\{h = 1\} \cap hyp(h)$

Moduli spaces & global geometry

Goals:

• understand the topology and local properties of moduli spaces

```
\mathcal{M}_{\tau} \coloneqq \operatorname{Sym}_{\operatorname{hyp}}^{\tau} (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^* / \operatorname{GL}(n+1)
```

- analyse (local) differential properties, e.g. dimension of tangent spaces, to describe strata of \mathcal{M}_τ
- study asymptotic behaviour of (G)PSR manifolds
- understand curvature properties, in particular of homogeneous (G)PSRs

Why is it difficult to classify hyperbolic polynomials?

Notation: $\tau := \deg(h)$

- set of hyperbolic polynomials is open in $\operatorname{Sym}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$
- GL(n+1), acting via linear change of coordinates, is **non-compact**
- $\dim(\operatorname{Sym}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*)$ growths with power τ in n while $\dim(\operatorname{GL}(n+1))$ growth only quadratically in n
- in general polynomial equivalence \Rightarrow (G)PSR equivalence:

Example

 ${h = x(y^2 - z^2) + y^3 = 1}$ has four hyperbolic connected components, two of which are equivalent [CDL'14, Thm. 2,5)].



Known classification results: deg(h) = 3

Theorem [CHM'12]

Up to equivalence, there exist 3 hyperbolic cubics in 2 variables: (i) $h = x^2y$, PSR curve homogeneous & closed (ii) $h = x(x^2 - y^2)$, PSR curve inhomogeneous & closed (iii) $h = x(x^2 + y^2)$, PSR curve inhomogeneous & not closed

in each ob the above cases, {h = 1} ∩ hyp(h) has 2 connected components

Example: $h = x(x^2 + y^2)$, plot of $\{h = 1\}$:

Theorem [CDL'14]

In 3 variables there are, to equivalence,

- 5 + a 1-parameter family of hyperbolic cubics with at least one closed connected component of {h = 1} ∩ hyp(h)
- 2 + a 1-parameter family of hyperbolic cubics with no closed connected component of {h = 1} ∩ hyp(h)
- two of the above PSR surfaces are homogeneous spaces
- corresponding cubics: h = xyz (flat) & $h = x(xy z^2)$ ($\mathcal{H} \cong$ hyperbolic plane)

Theorem [DV'92]

Homogeneous PSR manifolds and their corresponding cubics have been classified in [DV'92].

 in [DV'92], the corresponding homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds obtained via the supergravity cor=q-map are also studied \rightsquigarrow reducible cubics can be comparatively easily be controlled, allowing to obtain the following:

Theorem [CDJL'17]

In $n + 1 \ge 3$ real variables, there exist up to equivalence four reducible hyperbolic cubics that define a closed PSR manifold, and one reducible hyperbolic cubic that does not.

Example: $h = x(y^2 - z^2)$, plot of $\{h = 1\}$:



Known classification results: deg(h) = 4

→ for hyperbolic quartics, already considerably fewer known results!

Theorem [KW]

The isotopy types of <u>all</u> affine quartic curves $\{h = 0\}$, $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, have been classified in [KW].

• note: this is unsurprisingly difficult!

 \rightsquigarrow an example of a quartic GPSR surface has been studied in [T], motivated by the results of [W'04]

Theorem [L'22 (1)]

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Quartic}}$ $\ensuremath{\textbf{GPSR}}$ curves & corresponding quartics have been classified. There are, up to equivalence,

- $\mathbf{3} + \mathbf{one} \ \mathbf{1}$ -parameter family of closed quartic GPSR curves
- **2** + **two 1-parameter families** of non-closed maximal quartic GPSR curves
- maximal := coincides with a connected component of $\{h = 1\} \cap hyp(h)$
- in the above, parameter families defined on an open interval
- that's it for quartics! (modulo ε)

Known classification results: $deg(h) \ge 5$ and special cases

- there are to this date NO classification results for hyperbolic polynomials of degree ≥ 5 in any number of variables
- BUT: when restricting not only to curves, but also requiring homogeneity of the (G)PSR mfds., we have:

Theorem [L'22 (2)]

Homogeneous (G)PSR curves are classified. For $deg(h) = \tau$, $\{h = 1\}$ contains such a curve iff h is equivalent to

$$h = x^{\tau - k} y^k, \quad k \in \left\{1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{\tau}{2} \right\rfloor\right\}.$$

• in any of the above cases, the symmetry group G^h of h is either $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

Example: plots for $(\tau = 5, k = 1)$, $(\tau = 5, k = 2)$, $(\tau = 4, k = 1)$, $(\tau = 4, k = 2)$



Known global results:

- moduli spaces and global geometric properties of (G)PSR manifolds even less understood
- **but:** have some nice results for **cubics** by requiring that one of the c.c.'s of $\{h = 1\} \cap hyp(h)$ is **closed** in the ambient space
- Note: geometrically, a PSR manifold being closed is equivalent to its geodesic completeness w.r.t. the Riemannian metric −∂²h|_{TH×TH} [CNS'16]

→ we need a technical result:

Proposition [L'19]

For any hyperbolic polynomial $h : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\deg(h) = \tau$, and all $p \in \{h = 1\} \cap \operatorname{hyp}(h)$, $\exists A \in \operatorname{GL}(n+1)$, s.t. (i) $Ap = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$, (ii) $A^*h = x^{\tau} - x^{\tau-2}\langle y, y \rangle + \sum_{k=3}^{\tau} x^{\tau-k} P_k(y)$, $(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x, y)$ linear coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induced Euclidean scalar product, P_k 's homogeneous polynomials of degree k in y.

- the form of h in (ii) is called **standard form**
- warning: might not be ideal for every problem

Theorem [L'19]

If one of the c.c.'s of $\{h = 1\} \cap hyp(h)$, h hyperbolic cubic, is closed, then h has a representative in

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \left\{ x^3 - x\langle y, y \rangle + P_3(y) \mid \max_{\|y\|=1} |P_3(y)| \le \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \right\}$$

• the proof of the above theorem relies mainly on **reduction to 2-dim. case** & using available classification

 \rightsquigarrow the moduli space of closed PSR mfds., respectively their defining cubics, is generated by the compact convex set $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \operatorname{Sym}^3(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$

Corollary [L'18]

For closed PSR manifolds there exist curvature bounds depending ONLY on the dimension n.

→ in the case of surfaces, we know optimal curvature bounds:

Proposition [L'18]

The scalar curvature S of PSR surfaces is contained in $\left[-\frac{9}{4},0\right]$. The two homogeneous PSR surfaces maximise, respectively minimise, S.

• note: the proof is explicit (a.k.a. brute force), difficult to generalise...

→ standard form well suited to study asymptotics:

Theorem [L'20]

Asymptotically, closed PSR manifolds admit an action of $\mathbb R$ with non-compact orbits.

Explanation:

- "asymptotically" means the geometry of a PSR manifold contained in a limit *h* of the standard form of initial *h* along lines centrally projected to {*h* = 1} ∩ hyp(*h*)
- w.r.t. the generating set, corresponds to curves in \mathcal{C}_n :



→ surprisingly, have the following result for **limit geometries**:

Proposition [L'20]

If $h \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_n$, any limit geometry \overline{h} defines a homogeneous PSR manifold, and that one is always the same.

Example: For n = 2, $\overline{h} \cong x(xy - z^2)$. **Question:** What about deg $(h) \ge 4$?

Lemma [L'22 (1)]

- Closed quartic GPSR curves are not compactly generated.
- But ALL quartic GPSR curves have well understood asymptotic behaviour: If it exists, the limit polynomial defines a homogeneous curve.

That's more or less it for $deg(h) \ge 4$, though we have one more result that holds for cubics and quartics:

Definition

A (G)PSR manifold \mathcal{H} is called singular at infinity if dh vanishes along a ray in $\partial(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \mathcal{H})$.

• the above definition is equivalent to a fitting part of {h = 0} being singular as a real algebraic variety

Theorem [L'19, L'22 (1)]

Homogeneous PSR & homogeneous quartic GPSR manifolds are singular at infinity.

Example: projective curves of $h \cong xyz$ and $h \cong x(xy - z^2)$ are singular:



Outlook

→ Which open problems are realistically doable?

Current project 1 [LS'22]

Classify all homogeneous GPSR surfaces.

Advantages:

- can use homogeneous (G)PSR curves classification
- necessary Lie subalgebras of GL(3) well understood

Possible problems:

- strategy employed for curves not helpful
- have run multiple times into **combinatorial nightmares**, this **WILL** happen again
- calculation heavy, leading to potential human error

Verdict: expect a positive outcome!

Current project 2

Describe the asymptotic behaviour of maximal non-closed PSR manifolds.

Advantages:

- expect similar formulas as in the closed PSR case
- even for surfaces a result could be published

Possible problems:

- already the closed PSR case was a extremely calculation-heavy, will probably be even worse for maximal non-closed PSRs
- cannot really expect convergence of standard forms
- \exists explicit example with **no** well-defined asymptotic geometry in our sense, in that case $\overline{hyp(h)} \cap \{h = 0\}$ contains **only** the origin

Other open questions:

Problem 1

Are closed GPSR manifolds geodesically complete w.r.t. $-\partial^2 h|_{T\mathcal{H} \times T\mathcal{H}}$?

- for **PSR manifolds**, three different proofs of the above are known [CNS'16, L'19]
- none of these can be generalised to higher degree polynomials
- reasonable attempt: quartic GPSR surfaces

Problem 2

Can one find a meaningful **generalisation** of the **supergravity r-map** to quartic GPSR manifolds?

• probably!

Problem 3

Relate **asymptotic geometry** of (G)PSR manifolds to limits of the **volume-preserving Kähler-Ricci flow**.

• motivated by the fact that the volume-preserving Kähler-Ricci flow on the level of cohomology is an integral curve in a (G)PSR manifold \mathcal{H} , obtained by projecting $c_1(X)$, viewed as constant vector field, centrally to \mathcal{H}

Thank you for your attention!

- V. Cortés, M. Dyckmanns, and D. Lindemann, Classification of complete projective special real surfaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 109 (2014), no. 2, 423–445.
- V. Cortés, M. Dyckmanns, M. Jüngling, and D. Lindemann, A class of cubic hypersurfaces and quaternionic Kähler manifolds of co-homogeneity one (2017), arxiv:1701.07882.
- V. Cortés, X. Han, and T. Mohaupt, *Completeness in supergravity constructions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **311** (2012), no. 1, 191–213.
- V. Cortés, M. Nardmann, and S. Suhr, Completeness of hyperbolic centroaffine hypersurfaces, Comm. Anal. Geom., Vol. 24, no. 1 (2016), 59–92.
- J.-P. Demailly and M. Paun, Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold, Annals of Mathematics 159 (2004), 1247–1274.
- B. de Wit, A. Van Proeyen, Special geometry, cubic polynomials and homogeneous quaternionic spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. 149 (1992), no. 2, 307–333.
- S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, *Quaternionic manifolds for type II superstring vacua of Calabi-Yau spaces*, Nucl. Phys. **B332** (1990), no. 2, 317–332.

- D. Lindemann, Structure of the class of projective special real manifolds and their generalisations (2018), PhD thesis.
- A.B. Korchagin and D.A. Weinberg, *The isotopy classification of affine quartic curves*, Rocky Mt. J. Math., Vol. **32** (2002), No. 1, 255–347.
- D. Lindemann, Properties of the moduli set of complete connected projective special real manifolds (2019), arxiv:1907.06791.
- D. Lindemann, Limit geometry of complete projective special real manifolds (2020), arxiv:2009.12956.
- D. Lindemann, Special geometry of quartic curves, arxiv:2206.12524.
- D. Lindemann, Special homogeneous curves, arxiv:2208.06890.
- D. Lindemann, A.F. Swann, Special homogeneous surfaces, preliminary title, work in progress.
- I. Newton, *Curves*, related entry in Lexicon Technicum Vol. **II** by John Harris (1710).
- B. Totaro, *The curvature of a Hessian metric*, Int. J. Math., **15**, 369 (2004).

- T. Trenner, P.M.H. Wilson, Asymptotic Curvature of Moduli Spaces for Calabi–Yau Threefolds, J. Geometric Analysis 21 (2011), no. 2, 409–428.
- P.M.H. Wilson, Sectional curvatures of Kähler moduli, Math. Ann. 330 (2004) 631–664.
- H. Wu, The spherical images of convex hypersurfaces, J. Differential Geometry 9 (1974), 279–290.