

deformations of associative submanifolds with boundary

Frederik Witt

Universität Regensburg

holonomy groups and applications in string theory
Hamburg, 15/07/08

Deformations of associative submanifolds with boundary [math/08021283]

joint with
Damien Gayet (Lyon)

deforming
associatives
with boundary
conditions

Frederik Witt

Associative
submanifolds

deformations
of associative
submanifolds

1 Associative submanifolds

2 deformations of associative submanifolds

Hermitian spaces

(\mathbb{C}^m, h) standard hermitian vector space

natural substructure: complex (hermitian) subspaces

real picture

$(\mathbb{C}^m, h) \leftrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$, J isometry with $J^2 = -\text{Id}$

complex subspaces \leftrightarrow real subspaces closed under J

Hermitian spaces

(\mathbb{C}^m, h) standard hermitian vector space

natural substructure: complex (hermitian) subspaces

real picture

$(\mathbb{C}^m, h) \leftrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$, J isometry with $J^2 = -\text{Id}$

complex subspaces \leftrightarrow real subspaces closed under J

Hermitian spaces

(\mathbb{C}^m, h) standard hermitian vector space

natural substructure: complex (hermitian) subspaces

real picture

$(\mathbb{C}^m, h) \leftrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$, J isometry with $J^2 = -\text{Id}$

complex subspaces \leftrightarrow real subspaces closed under J

Kähler manifolds

(M^{2m}, g, J) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$ up to 2nd order

natural substructure: complex submanifolds $Y \subset M$, i.e. TY closed under J

remark

complex manifolds **homologically volume minimising** [Federer]

Y^{2k} complex submanifold, $Y' \in [Y] \in H_{2k}(M)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{vol}(Y') = \int_{Y'} \text{vol}_{g|_{Y'}} \geq \text{vol}(Y)$$

Kähler manifolds

(M^{2m}, g, J) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$ up to 2nd order

natural substructure: complex submanifolds $Y \subset M$, i.e. TY closed under J

remark

complex manifolds **homologically volume minimising** [Federer]

Y^{2k} complex submanifold, $Y' \in [Y] \in H_{2k}(M)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{vol}(Y') = \int_{Y'} \text{vol}_{g|_{Y'}} \geq \text{vol}(Y)$$

Kähler manifolds

(M^{2m}, g, J) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^{2m}, g, J)$ up to 2nd order

natural substructure: complex submanifolds $Y \subset M$, i.e. TY closed under J

remark

complex manifolds **homologically volume minimising**

[Federer]

Y^{2k} complex submanifold, $Y' \in [Y] \in H_{2k}(M)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{vol}(Y') = \int_{Y'} \text{vol}_{g|_{Y'}} \geq \text{vol}(Y)$$

octonians

$\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}1 \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ normed division algebra, not associative: in general

$$[x, y, z] = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z \neq 0$$

real picture

$\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O} + g$ cross product $x \times y = \text{Im}(\bar{y} \cdot x)$,

$$x \times y \perp x, y \quad x \times y = -y \times x \quad \|x \times y\|_g = \|x \wedge y\|_g$$

natural substructure

associative subspaces Y : closed under \times

$\Rightarrow \dim = 0, 3, 7$ and $[x, y, z] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Y$

octonions

$\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ normed division algebra, not associative: in general

$$[x, y, z] = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z \neq 0$$

real picture

$\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O} + g$ + cross product $x \times y = \text{Im}(\bar{y} \cdot x)$,

$$x \times y \perp x, y \quad x \times y = -y \times x \quad \|x \times y\|_g = \|x \wedge y\|_g$$

natural substructure

associative subspaces Y : closed under \times

$\Rightarrow \dim = 0, 3, 7$ and $[x, y, z] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Y$

octonions

$\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ normed division algebra, not associative: in general

$$[x, y, z] = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z \neq 0$$

real picture

$\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O} + g$ cross product $x \times y = \text{Im}(\bar{y} \cdot x)$,

$$x \times y \perp x, y \quad x \times y = -y \times x \quad \|x \times y\|_g = \|x \wedge y\|_g$$

natural substructure

associative subspaces Y : closed under \times

$\Rightarrow \dim = 0, 3, 7$ and $[x, y, z] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Y$

octonians

$\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \oplus \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ normed division algebra, not associative: in general

$$[x, y, z] = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z \neq 0$$

real picture

$\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O} + g$ cross product $x \times y = \text{Im}(\bar{y} \cdot x)$,

$$x \times y \perp x, y \quad x \times y = -y \times x \quad \|x \times y\|_g = \|x \wedge y\|_g$$

natural substructure

associative subspaces Y : closed under \times

$\Rightarrow \dim = 0, 3, 7$ and $[x, y, z] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Y$

G_2 -manifolds

(M^7, g, \times) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^7, g, \times)$ up to 2nd order

• associative submanifolds: $Y \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times

• associative submanifolds: $Y \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times

question

homologically volume minimising?

G_2 -manifolds

(M^7, g, \times) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^7, g, \times)$ up to 2nd order

- **associative submanifolds:** $Y^3 \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times
- **coassociative submanifolds:** $X^4 \subset M^7$ with TX^\perp is associative

question

homologically volume minimising?

G_2 -manifolds

(M^7, g, \times) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^7, g, \times)$ up to 2nd order

- **associative submanifolds:** $Y^3 \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times
- **coassociative submanifolds:** $X^4 \subset M^7$ with TX^\perp is associative

question

homologically volume minimising?

G_2 -manifolds

(M^7, g, \times) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^7, g, \times)$ up to 2nd order

- **associative submanifolds:** $Y^3 \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times
- **coassociative submanifolds:** $X^4 \subset M^7$ with TX^\perp is associative

question

homologically volume minimising?

G_2 -manifolds

(M^7, g, \times) looks $\sim (\mathbb{R}^7, g, \times)$ up to 2nd order

- **associative submanifolds:** $Y^3 \subset M^7$ with TY closed under \times
- **coassociative submanifolds:** $X^4 \subset M^7$ with TX^\perp is associative

question

homologically volume minimising?

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^{2m}}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^{2m}}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^{2m}}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds = associative submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^{2m}}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds
- G_2 case: $\varphi(x, y, z) = g(x \times y, z)$, $\varphi \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^3}}$ [Harvey–Lawson]
calibrated submanifolds = associative submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^{2m}}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds
- G_2 case: $\varphi(x, y, z) = g(x \times y, z)$, $\varphi \leq \text{vol}_{g|_{Y^3}}$ [Harvey–Lawson]
calibrated submanifolds = associative submanifolds

calibrated submanifolds [Harvey–Lawson]

(M, g, τ) (compact) Riemannian manifold, $\tau \in \Omega^k(M)$.

- τ **calibration** iff for all $x \in M$, $U^k \subset T_x M$: $\tau|_U \leq \text{vol}_{g|_U}$
- $Y \subset M$ **calibrated** iff $\tau|_Y = \text{vol}_{g|_Y}$
- $d\tau = 0 \Rightarrow$ calibrated submanifolds are h.v.m.

examples

- Kähler case: $\omega(x, y) = g(Jx, y)$, $\frac{\omega^m}{m!} \leq \text{vol}_{g|_Y^{2m}}$ [Wirtinger]
calibrated submanifolds = complex submanifolds
- G_2 case: $\varphi(x, y, z) = g(x \times y, z)$, $\varphi \leq \text{vol}_{g|_Y^3}$ [Harvey–Lawson]
calibrated submanifolds = associative submanifolds

Split $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \langle i, j, k \rangle$. When is

$$\text{graph } f \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, \quad f : U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

calibrated?

- $\mathcal{D}(f) = -i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - j \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$ Dirac operator

- $C : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ triple cross product

theorem [Harvey–Lawson]

graph f calibrated iff

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = C(f) = C\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\right)$$

Split $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \langle i, j, k \rangle$. When is

$$\text{graph } f \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, \quad f : U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

calibrated?

- $\mathcal{D}(f) = -i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - j \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$ Dirac operator
- $C : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ triple cross product

theorem [Harvey–Lawson]

graph f calibrated iff

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = C(f) = C\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\right)$$

Split $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \langle i, j, k \rangle$. When is

$$\text{graph } f \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, \quad f : U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

calibrated?

- $\mathcal{D}(f) = -i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - j \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$ **Dirac operator**
- $C : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ **triple cross product**

theorem [Harvey–Lawson]

graph f calibrated iff

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = C(f) = C\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\right)$$

Split $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \langle i, j, k \rangle$. When is

$$\text{graph } f \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, \quad f : U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

calibrated?

- $\mathcal{D}(f) = -i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - j \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$ **Dirac operator**
- $C : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ **triple cross product**

theorem [Harvey–Lawson]

graph f calibrated iff

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = C(f) = C\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\right)$$

Split $\text{Im } \mathbb{O} = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \langle i, j, k \rangle$. When is

$$\text{graph } f \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, \quad f : U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

calibrated?

- $\mathcal{D}(f) = -i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - j \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$ **Dirac operator**
- $C : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ **triple cross product**

theorem [Harvey–Lawson]

graph f calibrated iff

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = C(f) = C\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\right)$$

deforming
associatives
with boundary
conditions

Frederik Witt

Associative
submanifolds

deformations
of associative
submanifolds

① Associative submanifolds

② deformations of associative submanifolds

unbounded deformation problem

Y closed associative. Zariski tangent space of

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative and isotopic to } Y\}?$$

motivating example

$Y = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \text{graph } f \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ with $f \equiv 0$ calibrated, $\nu = \mathbb{H}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ close to 0, linearised equation $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$

theorem [McLean]

- normal bundle $\nu \rightarrow Y$ is a (twisted) spinor bundle for Y

unbounded deformation problem

Y closed associative. Zariski tangent space of

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative and isotopic to } Y\}?$$

motivating example

$Y = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \text{graph } f \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ with $f \equiv 0$ calibrated, $\nu = \mathbb{H}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ close to 0, linearised equation $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$

theorem [McLean]

- normal bundle $\nu \rightarrow Y$ is a (twisted) spinor bundle for Y
- Zariski tangent space $= \ker \mathcal{D}$
- $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ (twisted) Dirac operator

unbounded deformation problem

Y closed associative. Zariski tangent space of

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative and isotopic to } Y\}?$$

motivating example

$Y = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \text{graph } f \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ with $f \equiv 0$ calibrated, $\nu = \mathbb{H}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ close to 0, linearised equation $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$

theorem [McLean]

- normal bundle $\nu \rightarrow Y$ is a (twisted) spinor bundle for Y
- Zariski tangent space = $\ker \mathcal{D}$
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ (twisted) Dirac operator
- virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_Y = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$

unbounded deformation problem

Y closed associative. Zariski tangent space of

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative and isotopic to } Y\}?$$

motivating example

$Y = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \text{graph } f \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ with $f \equiv 0$ calibrated, $\nu = \mathbb{H}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ close to 0, linearised equation $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$

theorem [McLean]

- normal bundle $\nu \rightarrow Y$ is a (twisted) spinor bundle for Y
- Zariski tangent space = $\ker \mathcal{D}$
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ **(twisted) Dirac operator**
- virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_Y = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$

unbounded deformation problem

Y closed associative. Zariski tangent space of

$$\mathfrak{M}_Y = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative and isotopic to } Y\}?$$

motivating example

$Y = \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \text{graph } f \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ with $f \equiv 0$ calibrated, $\nu = \mathbb{H}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ close to 0, linearised equation $\mathcal{D}(f) = 0$

theorem [McLean]

- normal bundle $\nu \rightarrow Y$ is a (twisted) spinor bundle for Y
- Zariski tangent space = $\ker \mathcal{D}$
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ **(twisted) Dirac operator**
- virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_Y = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?

(what is the (virtual) dimension?)

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?

(or the formal dimension)

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?
- its (virtual) dimension?

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?
- its (virtual) dimension?

bounded deformation problem

- X coassociative
- Y compact associative with boundary $\partial Y \subset X$
- $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y} = \{Y' \mid Y' \text{ associative isotopic to } Y, \partial Y' \subset X\}$

question

- what is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$?
- its (virtual) dimension?

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y \perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed
- $\nu_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \nu_{\partial Y}$ is $u \times$ -closed
- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \partial Y$ is a $T\mathbb{H}^2$ -bundle

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y^\perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed

• ν_X is a complex subbundle

• $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \partial Y$ is a complex vector bundle

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y \perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y \perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed
- $\mu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \nu_X^*$ also $u \times$ -closed
- $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is $u \times$ -closed

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y^\perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed
- $\mu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \nu_X^\perp$ also $u \times$ -closed
- $\bar{\mu}_X \cong \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y$ as \mathbb{C} -line bundles

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y^\perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed
- $\mu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \nu_X^\perp$ also $u \times$ -closed
- $\bar{\mu}_X \cong \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y$ as \mathbb{C} -line bundles

near the boundary

- $\nu \rightarrow Y$ normal bundle, $\nu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T\partial Y \perp_{TX}$
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Y$ collar neighbourhood of ∂Y , u inward pointing normal vector field
- $u \times : \nu|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \nu|_{\mathcal{C}}$ almost complex structure (cf. $u \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ acting on \mathbb{H})

lemma [Gayet–W.]

- $\nu_X \subset \nu|_{\partial Y}$ and ν_X is $u \times$ -closed
- $\mu_X \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \nu_X^\perp$ also $u \times$ -closed
- $\bar{\mu}_X \cong \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y$ as \mathbb{C} -line bundles

corollary

$\mathcal{D}: \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ Dirac, $\mathcal{B}: \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, \mu_X)$ proj
 \Rightarrow Zariski tangent space of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}f &= 0 \\ \mathcal{B}(f|_{\partial Y}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

question

- elliptic system?
- if yes, what is its index (= virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$)?

corollary

$\mathcal{D}: \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ Dirac, $\mathcal{B}: \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, \mu_X)$ proj
 \Rightarrow Zariski tangent space of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}f &= 0 \\ \mathcal{B}(f|_{\partial Y}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

question

- elliptic system?
- if yes, what is its index (= virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$)?

corollary

$\mathcal{D}: \Gamma(Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$ Dirac, $\mathcal{B}: \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, \mu_X)$ proj
 \Rightarrow Zariski tangent space of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}f &= 0 \\ \mathcal{B}(f|_{\partial Y}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

question

- elliptic system?
- if yes, what is its index (= virtual dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_{X,Y}$)?

Calderón operator associated with \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} : \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \{f|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \mid \mathcal{D}f = 0\}$$

definition

M^{2n+1} with boundary, $S \rightarrow M$ spinor bundle with Dirac
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, S)$, $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma(\partial M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, V)$

\mathcal{B} defines **local elliptic boundary condition** \Leftrightarrow principal
 symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

$$\sigma(\mathcal{B})(\nu, \nu) = \sigma(\mathcal{D})(\nu, \nu) - \sigma(\mathcal{D})$$

$$\sigma(\mathcal{B})(\nu, \nu) + \sigma(\mathcal{D})(\nu, \nu) = \sigma(\mathcal{D})$$

Calderón operator associated with \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} : \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \{f|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \mid \mathcal{D}f = 0\}$$

definition

M^{2n+1} with boundary, $S \rightarrow M$ spinor bundle with Dirac
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, S)$, $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma(\partial M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, V)$

\mathcal{B} defines **local elliptic boundary condition** \Leftrightarrow principal
 symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

$$\bullet \operatorname{im} \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \pi^* V, \quad (\pi : T^* \partial M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \partial M)$$

$$\bullet \operatorname{im} \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \oplus \operatorname{im} (\nu, \mathcal{B}) \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}) \cong \operatorname{im} \sigma(\mathcal{D})$$

Calderón operator associated with \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} : \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \{f|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \mid \mathcal{D}f = 0\}$$

definition

M^{2n+1} with boundary, $S \rightarrow M$ spinor bundle with Dirac
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, S)$, $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma(\partial M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, V)$

\mathcal{B} defines **local elliptic boundary condition** \Leftrightarrow principal
 symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \pi^*V$ ($\pi : T^*\partial M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \partial M$)
- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \text{im}(\sigma(\mathcal{B}) \circ \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})$

Calderón operator associated with \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} : \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \{f|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \mid \mathcal{D}f = 0\}$$

definition

M^{2n+1} with boundary, $S \rightarrow M$ spinor bundle with Dirac
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, S)$, $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma(\partial M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, V)$

\mathcal{B} defines **local elliptic boundary condition** \Leftrightarrow principal
 symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \pi^*V$ ($\pi : T^*\partial M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \partial M$)
- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \text{im}(\sigma(\mathcal{B}) \circ \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})$

Calderón operator associated with \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} : \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \{f|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \mid \mathcal{D}f = 0\}$$

definition

M^{2n+1} with boundary, $S \rightarrow M$ spinor bundle with Dirac
 $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, S)$, $\mathcal{B} : \Gamma(\partial M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, V)$

\mathcal{B} defines **local elliptic boundary condition** \Leftrightarrow principal
 symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ satisfies

- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \pi^*V$ ($\pi : T^*\partial M \setminus 0 \rightarrow \partial M$)
- $\text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \cong \text{im}(\sigma(\mathcal{B}) \circ \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \text{im } \sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})$

- \mathcal{B} defines l.e.b.c. then well-defined

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \dim \ker(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B}) - \dim \text{coker}(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B})$$

- $\mathcal{P}^+ : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, S^+)$ orthogonal projection on positive spinors

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^+ \text{ defines l.e.b.c. with } \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}^+) = 0$$

- $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}$ define l.e.b.c.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_2) - \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_1) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{B}_1)$$

- \mathcal{B} defines l.e.b.c. then well-defined

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \dim \ker(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B}) - \dim \text{coker}(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B})$$

- $\mathcal{P}^+ : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, S^+)$ orthogonal projection on positive spinors

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^+ \text{ defines l.e.b.c. with } \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}^+) = 0$$

- $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}$ define l.e.b.c.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_2) - \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_1) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{B}_1)$$

- \mathcal{B} defines l.e.b.c. then well-defined

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \dim \ker(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B}) - \dim \text{coker}(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{B})$$

- $\mathcal{P}^+ : \Gamma(M, S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial M, S^+)$ orthogonal projection on positive spinors

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^+ \text{ defines l.e.b.c. with } \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}^+) = 0$$

- $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}$ define l.e.b.c.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_2) - \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_1) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{B}_1)$$

theorem [Gayet–W.]

 $\mathcal{B}: \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, \mu_X)$ defines a l.e.b.c. with

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{ind}(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$$

corollary

 ∂Y connected $\Rightarrow \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \int_{\partial Y} c_1(\nu_X) + 1 - g$
(Riemann–Roch)

theorem [Gayet–W.]

 $\mathcal{B}: \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, \mu_X)$ defines a l.e.b.c. with

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{ind}(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$$

corollary

 ∂Y connected $\Rightarrow \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \int_{\partial Y} c_1(\nu_X) + 1 - g$
(Riemann–Roch)

- on $\partial Y \times [0, \epsilon)$ collar neighbourhood, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = u \times (\nabla_u + \mathcal{R})$$

- compute $\sigma(Q_D)$ from $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ (Calderón–Seeley)
- check definition \Rightarrow l.e.b.c.
- index theory \Rightarrow need only $\sigma(BQ_D P^+)$
(P^+ projector onto S^+)
- lemma $\Rightarrow \sigma(BQ_D P^+) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$

- on $\partial Y \times [0, \epsilon)$ collar neighbourhood, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = u \times (\nabla_u + \mathcal{R})$$

- compute $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{D}})$ from $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ (Calderón–Seeley)
- check definition \Rightarrow l.e.b.c.
- index theory \Rightarrow need only $\sigma(BQ_{\mathcal{D}}P^+)$
(P^+ projector onto S^+)
- lemma $\Rightarrow \sigma(BQ_{\mathcal{D}}P^+) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$

- on $\partial Y \times [0, \epsilon)$ collar neighbourhood, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = u \times (\nabla_u + \mathcal{R})$$

- compute $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{D}})$ from $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ (Calderón–Seeley)
- check definition \Rightarrow l.e.b.c.
- index theory \Rightarrow need only $\sigma(\mathcal{B}Q_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+)$
(\mathcal{P}^+ projector onto S^+)
- lemma $\Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{B}Q_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$

- on $\partial Y \times [0, \epsilon)$ collar neighbourhood, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = u \times (\nabla_u + \mathcal{R})$$

- compute $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{D}})$ from $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ (Calderón–Seeley)
- check definition \Rightarrow l.e.b.c.
- index theory \Rightarrow need only $\sigma(\mathcal{B}Q_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+)$
(\mathcal{P}^+ projector onto S^+)
- lemma $\Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{B}Q_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$

- on $\partial Y \times [0, \epsilon)$ collar neighbourhood, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = u \times (\nabla_u + \mathcal{R})$$

- compute $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}})$ from $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ (Calderón–Seeley)
- check definition \Rightarrow l.e.b.c.
- index theory \Rightarrow need only $\sigma(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+)$
(\mathcal{P}^+ projector onto S^+)
- lemma $\Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}^+) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\nu_X})$

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $\alpha \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced product structure $N^* \partial Y$ real analytic, $\nu|_{\partial Y} \in \Gamma(N^* \partial Y)$ nowhere vanishing, $\partial Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ real analytic
- a section of $\nu|_{\partial Y} = \alpha$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in compact holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
- N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey-Lawson]
- a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in compact holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
- N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey-Lawson]
- a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in compact holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
 - N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey-Lawson]
 - a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in compact holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
- N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey–Lawson]
- a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in **compact** holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
- N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey–Lawson]
- a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in compact holonomy G_2 -manifolds

arbitrary genus

- $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ associative, ∂Y connected and real analytic
- $a \in \Gamma(\partial Y, \nu)$ nowhere vanishing, real analytic section
- induced geodesic flow gives N^3 , real analytic, $\varphi|_N \equiv 0$
- N determines coassociative germ X , $\partial Y \subset X$ [Harvey–Lawson]
- a section of $\nu_X \Rightarrow \text{ind} = 1 - g$

[compact examples]

use Joyce's construction of (co-)associatives to produce examples with non-vanishing index in **compact** holonomy G_2 -manifolds

deforming
associatives
with boundary
conditions

Frederik Witt

Associative
submanifolds

deformations
of associative
submanifolds

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- M is a locally non-compact, 7D manifold with a spinorial submanifold (possibly open condition)
- M is a 7D manifold with a spinorial submanifold
- M is a 7D manifold with a spinorial submanifold
- theorem remains true with \mathbb{R}^7 instead of $\mathbb{C}P^3$

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- X^4 totally non-associative iff $T_x X$ contains no associative subspace (pointwise open condition), for instance X coassociative
- X^4 totally associative iff $T_x X$ contains no coassociative subspace
- theorem remains true with $T_x X$ replaced by $T_x X^*$

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- X^4 **totally non-associative** iff $T_x X$ contains no associative subspace (pointwise open condition), for instance X coassociative
- “ φ -free” (cf. “totally real” vs. “Lagrangian”) [Harvey-Lawson]
- theorem remains true with “t.n.a.” instead of “coassociative”

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- X^4 **totally non-associative** iff $T_x X$ contains no associative subspace (pointwise open condition), for instance X coassociative
- “ φ -free” (cf. “totally real” vs. “Lagrangian”) [Harvey-Lawson]
- theorem remains true with “t.n.a.” instead of “coassociative”

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- X^4 **totally non-associative** iff $T_x X$ contains no associative subspace (pointwise open condition), for instance X coassociative
- “ φ -free” (cf. “totally real” vs. “Lagrangian”) [\[Harvey-Lawson\]](#)
- theorem remains true with “t.n.a.” instead of “coassociative”

relaxing the integrability condition

theorem remains true for **topological** G_2 -manifolds

relaxing the boundary condition

- X^4 **totally non-associative** iff $T_x X$ contains no associative subspace (pointwise open condition), for instance X coassociative
- “ φ -free” (cf. “totally real” vs. “Lagrangian”) [\[Harvey-Lawson\]](#)
- theorem remains true with “t.n.a.” instead of “coassociative”

Thank you!