Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the quaternionic Heisenberg group and the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem

Stefan Ivanov

based on math.DG/0611658, math.DG/0703044 - joint works with Ivan Minchev & Dimiter Vassilev, and arXiv:0707.1289 - joint with Dimiter Vassilev,

Hamburg, July 2008

Theorem (G.Folland & E.Stein)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{G}$ be an open set in a group of Heisenberg type (Carnot group) of homogeneous dimension Q. For any $1 there exists <math>S_p = S_p(\mathbf{G}) > 0$ such that for $u \in C_o^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dH(g)\right)^{1/p^*} \leq S_p \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dH(g)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Theorem

The best constant is achieved.

Theorem (G.Folland & E.Stein)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{G}$ be an open set in a group of Heisenberg type (Carnot group) of homogeneous dimension Q. For any $1 there exists <math>S_p = S_p(\mathbf{G}) > 0$ such that for $u \in C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dH(g)\right)^{1/p^*} \leq S_p \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dH(g)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Theorem

The best constant is achieved.

• The Euler-Lagrange equation characterizing the non-negative extremals) (after scaling) is $\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i(|Xu|^{p-2}X_iu) = -u^{p^*-1}$. Here, $|Xu|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |X_iu|^2$.

Theorem (G.Folland & E.Stein)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{G}$ be an open set in a group of Heisenberg type (Carnot group) of homogeneous dimension Q. For any $1 there exists <math>S_p = S_p(\mathbf{G}) > 0$ such that for $u \in C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dH(g)\right)^{1/p^*} \leq S_p \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dH(g)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Theorem

The best constant is achieved.

- The Euler-Lagrange equation characterizing the non-negative extremals) (after scaling) is $\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i(|Xu|^{p-2}X_iu) = -u^{p^*-1}$. Here, $|Xu|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |X_iu|^2$.
- When p = 2, -the problem reduces to the solvability of the Yamabe equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 u = - u^{\frac{Q+2}{Q-2}}.$$

• Zero dimensional center - $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

The problem can be translated to the standard sphere S^n via the stereographic map - leads to involve Riemannian geometry - the Riemannian Yamabe problem.

$$4\frac{n-1}{n-2} \bigtriangleup u - \operatorname{Scal} \cdot u = - \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} \cdot u^{2^*-1}.$$

• Zero dimensional center - $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

The problem can be translated to the standard sphere S^n via the stereographic map - leads to involve Riemannian geometry - the Riemannian Yamabe problem.

Let (M, g) - compact, Riemannian manifold, $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. If $\bar{g} = u^{4/(n-2)}g$, then

$$4\frac{n-1}{n-2} \bigtriangleup u - \operatorname{Scal} \cdot u = - \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} \cdot u^{2^*-1}.$$

• The Yamabe equation characteriszes the non-negative extremals of the Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (4\frac{n-1}{n-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \text{Scal } u^2) dv_g.$ • Zero dimensional center - $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The problem can be translated to the standard sphere S^n via the stereographic map - leads to involve Riemannian geometry - the Riemannian Yamabe problem.

$$4\frac{n-1}{n-2} \bigtriangleup u - \operatorname{Scal} \cdot u = - \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} \cdot u^{2^*-1}.$$

- The Yamabe equation characteriszes the non-negative extremals of the Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_{M} (4\frac{n-1}{n-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \text{Scal } u^2) dv_g.$
- Yamabe invariant: $\Upsilon([g]) = \inf{\{\Upsilon(u) : \int_M u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0\}}.$

• Zero dimensional center - $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

The problem can be translated to the standard sphere S^n via the stereographic map - leads to involve Riemannian geometry - the Riemannian Yamabe problem.

$$4\frac{n-1}{n-2} \bigtriangleup u - \operatorname{Scal} \cdot u = - \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} \cdot u^{2^*-1}.$$

- The Yamabe equation characteriszes the non-negative extremals of the **Yamabe functional**: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_{M} (4\frac{n-1}{n-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \text{Scal } u^2) dv_g.$
- Yamabe invariant: $\Upsilon([g]) = \inf{\{\Upsilon(u) : \int_M u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0\}}.$
- For the round sphere $\Upsilon(S^n, [g_{st}]) = n(n-1)\omega_n^{2/n}$.

• Zero dimensional center - $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

The problem can be translated to the standard sphere S^n via the stereographic map - leads to involve Riemannian geometry - the Riemannian Yamabe problem.

$$4\frac{n-1}{n-2} \bigtriangleup u - \operatorname{Scal} \cdot u = - \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} \cdot u^{2^*-1}.$$

- The Yamabe equation characteriszes the non-negative extremals of the **Yamabe functional**: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_{M} (4\frac{n-1}{n-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \text{Scal } u^2) dv_g.$
- Yamabe invariant: $\Upsilon([g]) = \inf{\{\Upsilon(u) : \int_M u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0\}}.$
- For the round sphere $\Upsilon(S^n, [g_{st}]) = n(n-1)\omega_n^{2/n}$.
- Note that extremals and the best constant can be found directly, not involving Riemannian geometry and the Riemannian Yamabe.

The main idea is to replace the non-linear Yamabe on S^n with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely conformal deformations preserving the Einstein condition.

Theorem (Aubin, Talenti, Obata)

Let (S^n, g_{st}) be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . If g is a Riem. metric, $g = \phi^2 g_{st}$, and $Scal_g = S = const$, then up to a homothety g is obtained from g_{st} by a conformal diffeo of the sphere, i.e.,

 $\exists \Phi \in Diff(S^n) \ s.t. \ Sg = \Phi^*g_{st}$

Furthermore, $\Phi = \exp(tX)$, $X = \nabla f$, $f = a_0 x_0 + \cdots + a_n x_n|_{S^n}$.

The main idea is to replace the non-linear Yamabe on S^n with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely conformal deformations preserving the Einstein condition.

Theorem (Aubin, Talenti, Obata)

Let (S^n, g_{st}) be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . If g is a Riem. metric, $g = \phi^2 g_{st}$, and $Scal_g = S = const$, then up to a homothety g is obtained from g_{st} by a conformal diffeo of the sphere, i.e.,

 $\exists \Phi \in Diff(S^n) \ s.t. \ Sg = \Phi^*g_{st}$

Furthermore, $\Phi = \exp(tX)$, $X = \nabla f$, $f = a_0 x_0 + \cdots + a_n x_n|_{S^n}$.

"**Proof**" (Lee & Parker) \bar{g} is Einstein. i.e., $0 = \overline{Ric_o} = Ric_o + \frac{n-2}{\phi} (\nabla^2 \phi)_o$. Thus,

 $(\nabla^2 \phi)_o = -\frac{\phi}{n-2} Ric_o$. Using $2\nabla^* (Ric_o) = \nabla S = 0$, from the contracted Bianchi and S=const, it follows

div
$$\operatorname{Ric}_{o}(\nabla\phi, .) = -\frac{\phi}{n-2}|\operatorname{Ric}_{o}|^{2}.$$

The main idea is to replace the non-linear Yamabe on S^n with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely conformal deformations preserving the Einstein condition.

Theorem (Aubin, Talenti, Obata)

Let (S^n, g_{st}) be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . If g is a Riem. metric, $g = \phi^2 g_{st}$, and $Scal_g = S = const$, then up to a homothety g is obtained from g_{st} by a conformal diffeo of the sphere, i.e.,

 $\exists \Phi \in Diff(S^n) \ s.t. \ Sg = \Phi^*g_{st}$

Furthermore, $\Phi = \exp(tX)$, $X = \nabla f$, $f = a_0 x_0 + \cdots + a_n x_n|_{S^n}$.

"**Proof**" (Lee & Parker) \bar{g} is Einstein. i.e., $0 = \overline{Ric_o} = Ric_o + \frac{n-2}{\phi} (\nabla^2 \phi)_o$. Thus,

 $(\nabla^2 \phi)_o = -\frac{\phi}{n-2} Ric_o$. Using $2\nabla^* (Ric_o) = \nabla S = 0$, from the contracted Bianchi and S=const, it follows

div
$$\operatorname{Ric}_{o}(\nabla\phi, .) = -\frac{\phi}{n-2}|\operatorname{Ric}_{o}|^{2}.$$

Theorem (N. Trudinger, Th. Aubin, R. Schoen; A. Bahri)

Let (M^n, \overline{g}) , $n \ge 3$, be a compact Riemannian manifold. There is a $g \in [\overline{g}]$, s.t., $Scal_g = const$.

One-dimensional center - (complex) Heisenberg group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

- -It is not known a direct solution to the L²-Folland-Stein inequality.
- - it is solved by D.Jerison and J.Lee using CR-geometry and the CR-Yamabe problem involving Tanaka-Webster connection and its scalar curvature.

One-dimensional center - (complex) Heisenberg group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

- -It is not known a direct solution to the L²-Folland-Stein inequality.
- - it is solved by D.Jerison and J.Lee using CR-geometry and the CR-Yamabe problem involving Tanaka-Webster connection and its scalar curvature.
- The Cayley transform ('complex stereographic map') reduces the problem to the standard Sasaki-Einstein sphere *S*^{2*n*+1}.

The same main idea- replace the non-linear CR-Yamabe on S^{2n+1} with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely pseudoconformal deformations preserving the pseudo Einstein condition.

One-dimensional center - (complex) Heisenberg group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

- -It is not known a direct solution to the L²-Folland-Stein inequality.
- - it is solved by D.Jerison and J.Lee using CR-geometry and the CR-Yamabe problem involving Tanaka-Webster connection and its scalar curvature.
- The Cayley transform ('complex stereographic map') reduces the problem to the standard Sasaki-Einstein sphere *S*^{2*n*+1}.

The same main idea- replace the non-linear CR-Yamabe on S^{2n+1} with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely pseudoconformal deformations preserving the pseudo Einstein condition.

 $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ - strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold.

Theorem (D. Jerison & J. Lee '88)

If θ is the contact form of a pseudo-Hermitian structure proportional to the standard contact form $\overline{\theta}$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and $Scal_{\theta} = const$, then up to a multiplicative constant $\theta = \Phi^* \overline{\theta}$ with Φ a CR automorphism of the sphere.

One-dimensional center - (complex) Heisenberg group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

- -It is not known a direct solution to the L²-Folland-Stein inequality.
- - it is solved by D.Jerison and J.Lee using CR-geometry and the CR-Yamabe problem involving Tanaka-Webster connection and its scalar curvature.
- The Cayley transform ('complex stereographic map') reduces the problem to the standard Sasaki-Einstein sphere *S*^{2*n*+1}.

The same main idea- replace the non-linear CR-Yamabe on S^{2n+1} with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely pseudoconformal deformations preserving the pseudo Einstein condition.

 $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ - strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold.

Theorem (D. Jerison & J. Lee '88)

If θ is the contact form of a pseudo-Hermitian structure proportional to the standard contact form $\overline{\theta}$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and $Scal_{\theta} = const$, then up to a multiplicative constant $\theta = \Phi^* \overline{\theta}$ with Φ a CR automorphism of the sphere.

The proof relies on a highly non-trivial 'divergence-type' formula because of the presence of torsion of the Tanaka-Webster connection does not allowed a Lee-Parker conceptual proof.

One-dimensional center - (complex) Heisenberg group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

- -It is not known a direct solution to the L²-Folland-Stein inequality.
- - it is solved by D.Jerison and J.Lee using CR-geometry and the CR-Yamabe problem involving Tanaka-Webster connection and its scalar curvature.
- The Cayley transform ('complex stereographic map') reduces the problem to the standard Sasaki-Einstein sphere *S*^{2*n*+1}.

The same main idea- replace the non-linear CR-Yamabe on S^{2n+1} with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved-namely pseudoconformal deformations preserving the pseudo Einstein condition.

 $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ - strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold.

Theorem (D. Jerison & J. Lee '88)

If θ is the contact form of a pseudo-Hermitian structure proportional to the standard contact form $\overline{\theta}$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and $Scal_{\theta} = const$, then up to a multiplicative constant $\theta = \Phi^* \overline{\theta}$ with Φ a CR automorphism of the sphere.

The proof relies on a highly non-trivial 'divergence-type' formula because of the presence of torsion of the Tanaka-Webster connection does not allowed a Lee-Parker conceptual proof. CR automorphism of the sphere are relatively easy to described due to

Theorem (J. Lee '88)

If $(M, \overline{\theta})$ is pseudo-Einstein, then $\theta = e^{2u\overline{\theta}}$ is pseudo-Einstein iff u is CR-pluriharmonic on M.

The CR Yamabe problem II

The CR-Yamabe problem is: Given a compact strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ find a smooth function *f* such that $\overline{\theta} = e^{f}\theta$ has constant pseudohermitian scalar curvature.

The steps of solving this follow that in solving the Riemannian Yamabe.

The CR Yamabe problem II

The CR-Yamabe problem is: Given a compact strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ find a smooth function f such that $\bar{\theta} = e^f \theta$ has constant pseudohermitian scalar curvature.

The steps of solving this follow that in solving the Riemannian Yamabe.

Theorem (D. Jerison & J. Lee '87-'89)

- a) $\Upsilon([\theta]) \leq \Upsilon(S^{2n+1})$, where $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is the sphere with its standard CR structure. If $\Upsilon([\theta]) < \Upsilon(S^{2n+1})$, then the Yamabe equation has a solution. [D. Jerison & J. Lee '87]
- b) If $n \ge 2$ and M is not locally CR equivalent to S^{2n+1} , then $\Upsilon([\theta]) < \Upsilon(S^{2n+1})$. [D. Jerison & J. Lee '89]

$$Y(\theta_{\epsilon}) = \begin{cases} Y(S^{2n+1}) \left(1 - c_n |S(q)|^2 \epsilon^4\right) + \mathbb{O}(\epsilon^5), & n \ge 2; \\ Y(S^5) \left(1 - c_2 |S(q)|^2 \epsilon^4 \ln \epsilon\right) + \mathbb{O}(\epsilon^4), & n = 2. \end{cases}$$

c) If n = 1 or M is locally CR equivalent to S²ⁿ⁺¹, then the Yamabe equation has a solution. [R. Yacoub '01, N. Gamara & R. Yacoub, 01]

The CR Yamabe problem II

The CR-Yamabe problem is: Given a compact strongly pseudo-convex CR manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \theta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ find a smooth function f such that $\overline{\theta} = e^{f}\theta$ has constant pseudohermitian scalar curvature.

The steps of solving this follow that in solving the Riemannian Yamabe.

Theorem (D. Jerison & J. Lee '87-'89)

- a) $\Upsilon([\theta]) \leq \Upsilon(S^{2n+1})$, where $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is the sphere with its standard CR structure. If $\Upsilon([\theta]) < \Upsilon(S^{2n+1})$, then the Yamabe equation has a solution. [D. Jerison & J. Lee '87]
- b) If n ≥ 2 and M is not locally CR equivalent to S²ⁿ⁺¹, then Υ([θ]) < Υ(S²ⁿ⁺¹). [D. Jerison & J. Lee '89]

$$Y(\theta_{\epsilon}) = \begin{cases} Y(S^{2n+1}) \left(1 - c_n |S(q)|^2 \epsilon^4\right) + \mathfrak{O}(\epsilon^5), & n \ge 2; \\ Y(S^5) \left(1 - c_2 |S(q)|^2 \epsilon^4 \ln \epsilon\right) + \mathfrak{O}(\epsilon^4), & n = 2. \end{cases}$$

c) If n = 1 or M is locally CR equivalent to S²ⁿ⁺¹, then the Yamabe equation has a solution. [R. Yacoub '01, N. Gamara & R. Yacoub, 01]

S is the Chern-Moser tensor and one of the key point is the use of the Chern-Moser theorem

Theorem (Chern-Moser '74)

A (2n + 1), n > 1-dimensional CR manifold is locally CR-equivalent to the sphere exactly when the Chern-Moser tensor vanishes, S = 0.

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

• \mathbb{H}^n -quaternionic space, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, $q^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$, $q^{\alpha} = t^{\alpha} + ix^{\alpha} + jy^{\alpha} + kz^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

- \mathbb{H}^n -quaternionic space, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, $q^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$, $q^{\alpha} = t^{\alpha} + ix^{\alpha} + jy^{\alpha} + kz^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.
- $oldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})=\mathbb{H}^n imes \mathsf{Im}\mathbb{H}, \quad (q,\omega)\in oldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H}),$

 $(q_o, \omega_o) \circ (q, \omega) = (q_o + q, \omega + \omega_o + 2 \operatorname{Im} q_o \bar{q}),$

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

- \mathbb{H}^n -quaternionic space, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, $q^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$, $q^{\alpha} = t^{\alpha} + ix^{\alpha} + jy^{\alpha} + kz^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.
- $oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight)=\mathbb{H}^{n} imes ext{Im}\mathbb{H}, \quad (q,\omega)\in oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight),$

$$(q_o, \omega_o) \circ (q, \omega) = (q_o + q, \omega + \omega_o + 2 \operatorname{Im} q_o \bar{q}),$$

Left-invariant horizontal vector fields

$$T_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\alpha}} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad X_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
$$Y_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad Z_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} - 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

- \mathbb{H}^n -quaternionic space, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, $q^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$, $q^{\alpha} = t^{\alpha} + ix^{\alpha} + jy^{\alpha} + kz^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.
- $oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight)=\mathbb{H}^{n} imes ext{Im}\mathbb{H}, \quad (q,\omega)\in oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight),$

$$(q_o, \omega_o) \circ (q, \omega) = (q_o + q, \omega + \omega_o + 2 \operatorname{Im} q_o \bar{q}),$$

Left-invariant horizontal vector fields

$$T_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\alpha}} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad X_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
$$Y_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad Z_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} - 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The homogeneous dimension of **G**(\mathbb{H}) is Q = 4n + 6, $2^* = \frac{2Q}{Q-2} = \frac{2n+3}{n+1}$.

• \mathbb{H} -quaternions, q = t + ix + jy + kz, where $t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
 and $ijk = -1$.

- \mathbb{H}^n -quaternionic space, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$, $q^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$, $q^{\alpha} = t^{\alpha} + ix^{\alpha} + jy^{\alpha} + kz^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.
- $oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight)=\mathbb{H}^{n} imes ext{Im}\mathbb{H}, \quad (q,\omega)\in oldsymbol{G}\left(\mathbb{H}
 ight),$

$$(q_o, \omega_o) \circ (q, \omega) = (q_o + q, \omega + \omega_o + 2 \operatorname{Im} q_o \bar{q}),$$

Left-invariant horizontal vector fields

$$T_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\alpha}} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad X_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
$$Y_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}} + 2z^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad Z_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} - 2y^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2t^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The homogeneous dimension of $G(\mathbb{H})$ is Q = 4n + 6, $2^* = \frac{2Q}{Q-2} = \frac{2n+3}{n+1}$. The 'quaternionic contact Yamabe equation' on $G(\mathbb{H})$ is

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(T_{\alpha}^{2} + X_{\alpha}^{2} + Y_{\alpha}^{2} + Z_{\alpha}^{2} \right) u = -\frac{n+1}{4(n+2)} u^{2^{*}-1}.Const.$$

Theorem (Folland and Stein)

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{H} \times Im \mathbb{H}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{G}$. There is $S_2 = S_2(\mathbf{G}) > 0$, such that, for $u \in C_o^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dH(g)\right)^{1/2^*} \leq S_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dH(g)\right)^{1/2}, \qquad 2^* = 5/4.$$

Theorem (Folland and Stein)

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{H} \times Im \mathbb{H}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{G}$. There is $S_2 = S_2(\mathbf{G}) > 0$, such that, for $u \in C_o^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dH(g)\right)^{1/2^*} \leq S_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dH(g)\right)^{1/2}, \qquad 2^* = 5/4.$$

Theorem (I/, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{H} \times Im \mathbb{H}$. The best constant in the L² Folland-Stein embedding theorem is

$$S_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi^{3/5}}$$

An extremal is given by the function

$$F(g) \;=\; rac{2^{11}\sqrt{3}}{\pi^{3/5}} \left[(1+|q|^2)^2 \;+ ||\omega|^2)
ight]^{-2}, \quad (q,\omega) \in oldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Any other non-negative extremal is obtained from F by translations $\tau_{(q_0,\omega_0)}F = F(q_0 + q, \omega_0 + \omega)$ and dilations $F_{\lambda} = \lambda^4 F(\lambda q, \lambda^2 \omega), \lambda > 0$. We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

The geometrical structure behind this problem is the notion of quatrnionic contact structure and the Biquard connection.

The quaternionic contact structure appears naturally as the conformal infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space.

We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

The geometrical structure behind this problem is the notion of quatrnionic contact structure and the Biquard connection.

The quaternionic contact structure appears naturally as the conformal infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space.

Definition

A quaternionic contact structure on M^{4n+3} is the data of codimension three distribution H on M equiped with a Riemannian metric g and an Sp(n)Sp(1)-structure i.e. we have

We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

The geometrical structure behind this problem is the notion of quatrnionic contact structure and the Biquard connection.

The quaternionic contact structure appears naturally as the conformal infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space.

Definition

A quaternionic contact structure on M^{4n+3} is the data of codimension three distribution H on M equiped with a Riemannian metric g and an Sp(n)Sp(1)-structure i.e. we have

i) a 2-sphere bundle \mathbb{Q} over M of almost complex structures, such that, we have $\mathbb{Q} = \{al_1 + bl_2 + cl_3 : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$, where the almost complex structures $l_s : H \to H$, $l_s^2 = -1$, s = 1, 2, 3, satisfy the commutation relations of the imaginary quaternions $l_1 l_2 = -l_2 l_1 = l_3$;

We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

The geometrical structure behind this problem is the notion of quatrnionic contact structure and the Biquard connection.

The quaternionic contact structure appears naturally as the conformal infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space.

Definition

A quaternionic contact structure on M^{4n+3} is the data of codimension three distribution H on M equiped with a Riemannian metric g and an Sp(n)Sp(1)-structure i.e. we have

- i) a 2-sphere bundle \mathbb{Q} over M of almost complex structures, such that, we have $\mathbb{Q} = \{al_1 + bl_2 + cl_3 : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$, where the almost complex structures $l_s : H \to H$, $l_s^2 = -1$, s = 1, 2, 3, satisfy the commutation relations of the imaginary quaternions $l_1 l_2 = -l_2 l_1 = l_3$;
- ii) *H* is the kernel of a 1-form $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ with values in \mathbb{R}^3 and the following compatibility condition holds

 $2g(I_sX, Y) = d\eta_s(X, Y), \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad X, Y \in H.$

We explore the same main idea- replace the non-linear quaternionic Yamabe equation with a geometrical system of equations which can be solved.

The geometrical structure behind this problem is the notion of quatrnionic contact structure and the Biquard connection.

The quaternionic contact structure appears naturally as the conformal infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space.

Definition

A quaternionic contact structure on M^{4n+3} is the data of codimension three distribution H on M equiped with a Riemannian metric g and an Sp(n)Sp(1)-structure i.e. we have

- i) a 2-sphere bundle \mathbb{Q} over M of almost complex structures, such that, we have $\mathbb{Q} = \{al_1 + bl_2 + cl_3 : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$, where the almost complex structures $l_s : H \to H$, $l_s^2 = -1$, s = 1, 2, 3, satisfy the commutation relations of the imaginary quaternions $l_1 l_2 = -l_2 l_1 = l_3$;
- ii) *H* is the kernel of a 1-form $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ with values in \mathbb{R}^3 and the following compatibility condition holds

$$2g(I_sX, Y) = d\eta_s(X, Y), \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad X, Y \in H.$$

Example 3-Sasakian manifolds *M*: The cone $C = M \times \mathbb{R}$ with the metric $g_{con} = dt^2 + t^2 g$ is a hyperkähler metric.

(Institute)

- Given η (and *H*) there exists at most one triple of a.c.str. and metric *g* that are compatible.
- Rotating η we obtain the same qc-structure.
- Conformal transformations $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \mu > 0, \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$

$$\bar{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$$

The associated metric \bar{g} to $\bar{\eta}$ on *H* is conformal to *g*,

$$\bar{g} = \mu g.$$
- Given η (and H) there exists at most one triple of a.c.str. and metric g that are compatible.
- Rotating η we obtain the same qc-structure.
- Conformal transformations $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \mu > 0, \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$

$$\bar{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$$

The associated metric \bar{g} to $\bar{\eta}$ on *H* is conformal to *g*,

$$\bar{g} = \mu g$$

Theorem (O. Biquard)

Under the above conditions and n > 1, there exists a unique supplementary distribution V of H in TM and a linear connection ∇ on M, s.t.,

- 1. V and H are parallel
- 2. g and Q are parallel
- 3. torsion $T(A, B) = \nabla_A B \nabla_B A [A, B]$ satisfies

•
$$\forall X, Y \in H$$
, $T_{X,Y} = -[X, Y]|_V \in V$

•
$$\forall \xi \in V$$
, $T_{\xi} := (X \mapsto (T_{\xi,X})_H) \in (sp(n) + sp(1))^{\perp}$

• Note: *V* is generated by the Reeb vector fields $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$

$$\eta_s(\xi_k) = \delta_{sk}, \qquad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_s)_{|H} = 0, \qquad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_k)_{|H} = -(\xi_k \lrcorner d\eta_s)_{|H}.$$

• Note: *V* is generated by the Reeb vector fields $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$

$$\eta_s(\xi_k) = \delta_{sk}, \qquad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_s)_{|H} = 0, \qquad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_k)_{|H} = -(\xi_k \lrcorner d\eta_s)_{|H}.$$

 If the dimension of M is seven, n = 1, the above conditions do not always hold. Duchemin shows that if we assume, in addition, the existence of Reeb vector fields as above, then there is a connection as before. Henceforth, by a qc structure in dimension 7 we shall mean a qc structure satisfying the Reeb conditions

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dx \, - \, x^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dx^\alpha \, - \, z^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, y^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dy \, - \, y^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, z^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dy^\alpha \, - \, x^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dz \, - \, z^\alpha dt^\alpha \, - \, y^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, x^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dz^\alpha. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dx \, - \, x^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dx^\alpha \, - \, z^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, y^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dy \, - \, y^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, z^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dy^\alpha \, - \, x^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dz \, - \, z^\alpha dt^\alpha \, - \, y^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, x^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dz^\alpha. \end{split}$$

• Left-invariant Reeb (vertical) vector fields ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 are

$$\xi_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 $\xi_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\xi_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dx \, - \, x^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dx^\alpha \, - \, z^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, y^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dy \, - \, y^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, z^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dy^\alpha \, - \, x^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dz \, - \, z^\alpha dt^\alpha \, - \, y^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, x^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dz^\alpha. \end{split}$$

• Left-invariant Reeb (vertical) vector fields ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 are

$$\xi_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 $\xi_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\xi_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

• I_i endomorphism on \mathbb{H}^n using the right multiplication correspondingly by *i*, *j* and *k*.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dx \, - \, x^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dx^\alpha \, - \, z^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, y^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dy \, - \, y^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, z^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dy^\alpha \, - \, x^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \, \frac{1}{2} \, dz \, - \, z^\alpha dt^\alpha \, - \, y^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, x^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dz^\alpha. \end{split}$$

• Left-invariant Reeb (vertical) vector fields ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 are

$$\xi_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 $\xi_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\xi_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

• I_i endomorphism on \mathbb{H}^n using the right multiplication correspondingly by *i*, *j* and *k*.

• On G (III) let ∇ be the left-invariant connection - this is the Biquard connection. It is flat!

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dx \, - \, x^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dx^\alpha \, - \, z^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, y^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dy \, - \, y^\alpha dt^\alpha \, + \, z^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dy^\alpha \, - \, x^\alpha dz^\alpha \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \, dz \, - \, z^\alpha dt^\alpha \, - \, y^\alpha dx^\alpha \, + \, x^\alpha dy^\alpha \, + \, t^\alpha dz^\alpha. \end{split}$$

• Left-invariant Reeb (vertical) vector fields ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 are

$$\xi_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 $\xi_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\xi_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

- I_i endomorphism on \mathbb{H}^n using the right multiplication correspondingly by *i*, *j* and *k*.
- On *G*(𝔅) let ∇ be the left-invariant connection this is the Biquard connection. It is flat!
 (*G*(𝔅), *θ̃*)- the flat model.

$$\tilde{\eta} = dq \cdot \bar{q} + dp \cdot \bar{p} - q \cdot d\bar{q} - p \cdot d\bar{p}$$

$$\tilde{\eta} = dq \cdot \bar{q} + dp \cdot \bar{p} - q \cdot d\bar{q} - p \cdot d\bar{p}$$

Identify G (ℍ) with the boundary Σ of a Siegel domain in ℍⁿ × ℍ,

 $\Sigma = \{ (q',p') \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}} p' = |q'|^2 \},$

by using the map $(q', \omega') \mapsto (q', |q'|^2 - \omega')$.

$$\tilde{\eta} = dq \cdot \bar{q} + dp \cdot \bar{p} - q \cdot d\bar{q} - p \cdot d\bar{p}$$

Identify G (ℍ) with the boundary Σ of a Siegel domain in ℍⁿ × ℍ,

$$\Sigma = \{(q',p') \in \mathbb{H}^n imes \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}} p' = |q'|^2\},$$

by using the map $(q', \omega') \mapsto (q', |q'|^2 - \omega')$.

• Cayley transform, ${\mathfrak C}: S^{4n+3} \setminus \{ pt. \} \to \Sigma,$

$$(q',p') = \mathcal{C}((q,p)) = ((1+p)^{-1} q, (1+p)^{-1} (1-p)).$$

$$\tilde{\eta} = dq \cdot \bar{q} + dp \cdot \bar{p} - q \cdot d\bar{q} - p \cdot d\bar{p}$$

Identify G (H) with the boundary Σ of a Siegel domain in Hⁿ × H,

$$\Sigma = \{(q',p') \in \mathbb{H}^n imes \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}} p' = |q'|^2\},$$

by using the map $(q', \omega') \mapsto (q', |q'|^2 - \omega')$.

• Cayley transform, ${\mathfrak C}: S^{4n+3} \setminus \{ \text{pt.} \} \to \Sigma,$

$$(q',p') = \mathcal{C}((q,p)) = ((1+p)^{-1} q, (1+p)^{-1} (1-p)).$$

• $\mathcal{C}^* \tilde{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2|1+p|^2} \lambda \tilde{\eta} \bar{\lambda}, \quad \lambda$ -unit quaternion (eg. of *conformal quaternionic contact map*).

- curvature: $R(A, B)C = [\nabla_A, \nabla_B]C \nabla_{[A,B]}C$, R(A, B, C, D) = g(R(A, B)C, D);
- qc-Ricci tensor: $Ric(X, Y) = tr_H\{Z \mapsto \Re(Z, X)Y\} = R(e_a, X, Y, e_a)$ for $e_a, X, Y \in H$
- qc-Ricci 2-forms $\rho_s(X, Y) = R(X, Y, e_a, I_s e_a)$
- qc-scalar curvature: Scal = $tr_H Ric = Ric(e_a, e_a)$.
- qc-Einstein condition:

$$Ric(X, Y) = \frac{Scal}{4n}g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in H$$

 $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \, \mu > 0, \, \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$

 $\bar{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$

$$\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \ \mu > 0, \ \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$$

 $\overline{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$

Lemma (O. Biquard '99)

If $\bar{\eta} = u^{4/(Q-2)} \eta$, then

$$4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} \bigtriangleup u - u \operatorname{Scal} = -u^{2^*-1} \overline{\operatorname{Scal}},$$

where $\triangle u = tr_H (\nabla du), Q = 4n + 6, 2^* = 2Q/(Q - 2).$

$$\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \ \mu > 0, \ \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$$

 $\overline{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$

Lemma (O. Biquard '99)

If $\bar{\eta} = u^{4/(Q-2)} \eta$, then

$$4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} \bigtriangleup u - u \operatorname{Scal} = -u^{2^*-1} \overline{\operatorname{Scal}},$$

where $\triangle u = tr_H (\nabla du), Q = 4n + 6, 2^* = 2Q/(Q - 2).$

Set Scal = 0 and $\overline{Scal} = const$ this is precisely the Yamabe equation on $G(\mathbb{H})$.

$$\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \ \mu > 0, \ \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3)).$$

 $\overline{\eta} = \mu \Psi \eta$

Lemma (O. Biquard '99)

If $\bar{\eta} = u^{4/(Q-2)} \eta$, then

$$4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2}\bigtriangleup u - u \operatorname{Scal} = -u^{2^*-1} \overline{\operatorname{Scal}},$$

where $\triangle u = tr_H (\nabla du), Q = 4n + 6, 2^* = 2Q/(Q - 2).$

Set Scal = 0 and $\overline{Scal} = const$ this is precisely the Yamabe equation on $G(\mathbb{H})$. **The qc-Yamabe problem:** Find solutions to

$$4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} \bigtriangleup u - u \operatorname{Scal} = -u^{2^*-1} \overline{\operatorname{Scal}}, \quad \overline{\operatorname{Scal}} = \operatorname{const}$$

Yamabe functional is

$$\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) \, dv_g.$$

The Yamabe invariant is the infimum

$$\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^{*}} dv_{g} = 1, \ u > 0 \}.$$

Main strategy: Replace the non-linear Yamabe equation on $G(\mathbb{H})$ with a geometrical system which can be solved. Use Cayley to transform the problem to the 3-Sasakian sphere and use compactness.

The first observation is:

Theorem (I/, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

If M is qc-Einstein then Scal=const.

Hint: Try to replace the Yamabe equation with conformal deformations preserving the qc-Einstein condition.

Let $\Psi \in \text{End}(H)$.

• Sp(n)-invariant parts as follows

$$\Psi = \Psi^{+++} + \Psi^{+--} + \Psi^{-+-} + \Psi^{--+}$$

Explicitly, $4\Psi^{+++} = \Psi - l_1 \Psi l_1 - l_2 \Psi l_2 - l_3 \Psi l_3$, etc.

• The two Sp(n)Sp(1)-invariant components are given by

$$\Psi_{[3]} = \Psi^{+++}, \qquad \Psi_{[-1]} = \Psi^{+--} + \Psi^{-+-} + \Psi^{--+}$$

Using $\operatorname{End}(H) \stackrel{g}{\cong} \Lambda^{1,1}$ the Sp(n)Sp(1)-invariant components are the projections on the eigenspaces of the operator

$$\Upsilon = I_1 \otimes I_1 + I_2 \otimes I_2 + I_3 \otimes I_3.$$

If n = 1 then the space of symmetric endomorphisms commuting with all l_i , i = 1, 2, 3 is 1-dimensional, i.e. the [3]-component of any symmetric endomorphism Ψ on H is proportional to the identity, $\Psi_{[3]} = \frac{tr(\Psi)}{4} Id_{|H}$.

The Torsion Tensor. $T_{\xi_j} = T^0_{\xi_j} + I_j U, U \in \Psi_{[3]}.$

 $T^0_{\xi_j}$ -symmetric, $I_j U$ -skew-symmetric. Biquard shows T_{ξ} is completely trace-free.

The Torsion Tensor. $T_{\xi_j} = T^0_{\xi_i} + I_j U, U \in \Psi_{[3]}.$

 $T^0_{\xi_j}$ -symmetric, $I_j U$ -skew-symmetric. Biquard shows T_{ξ} is completely trace-free.

Theorem (1/1. Windney, D. Vassilev	Theorem	(/ .	Minchev,	D. 1	Vassilev
------------------------------------	---------	--------	----------	------	----------

Define $T^0 = T^0_{\xi_1} I_1 + T^0_{\xi_2} I_2 + T^0_{\xi_3} I_3 \in \Psi_{[-1]}$. We have Ric = $(2n+2)T^0 + (4n+10)U + \frac{Scal}{4n}g$.

The Torsion Tensor. $T_{\xi_j} = T^0_{\xi_i} + I_j U, U \in \Psi_{[3]}.$

 $T^0_{\xi_j}$ -symmetric, $I_j U$ -skew-symmetric. Biquard shows T_{ξ} is completely trace-free.

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Define $T^0 = T^0_{\xi_1} I_1 + T^0_{\xi_2} I_2 + T^0_{\xi_3} I_3 \in \Psi_{[-1]}$. We have Ric = $(2n+2)T^0 + (4n+10)U + \frac{Scal}{4n}g$.

3-Sasakian manifold: M^{4n+3} is 3-Sasaki if its cone is hyperkähler, $C = M^{4n+3} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $g_{con} = t^2g + dt^2$, $Hol(g_{con}) \in Sp(n+1)$. If J_1, J_2, J_3) are the three comp-lex structures on C then $\xi_s = J_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are the Reeb vector fields of the qc-structure. The torsion of Biguard connection vanishes, $T_{\xi} = 0$ and any 3-Sasakian manifold is qc-Einstein.

The Torsion Tensor. $T_{\xi_j} = T^0_{\xi_i} + I_j U, U \in \Psi_{[3]}$.

 $T^0_{\xi_j}$ -symmetric, $I_j U$ -skew-symmetric. Biquard shows T_{ξ} is completely trace-free.

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Define $T^0 = T^0_{\xi_1} I_1 + T^0_{\xi_2} I_2 + T^0_{\xi_3} I_3 \in \Psi_{[-1]}$. We have Ric = $(2n+2)T^0 + (4n+10)U + \frac{Scal}{4n}g$.

3-Sasakian manifold: M^{4n+3} is 3-Sasaki if its cone is hyperkähler, $C = M^{4n+3} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $g_{con} = t^2g + dt^2$, $Hol(g_{con}) \in Sp(n+1)$. If J_1, J_2, J_3) are the three comp-lex structures on C then $\xi_s = J_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are the Reeb vector fields of the qc-structure. The torsion of Biguard connection vanishes, $T_{\xi} = 0$ and any 3-Sasakian manifold is qc-Einstein.

Theorem (w/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

- a) Suppose Scal \neq 0. The next conditions are equivalent:
 - i) $(M^{4n+3}, g, \mathbb{Q})$ is qc-Einstein manifold;
 - ii) *M* is locally 3-Sasakian: locally there exists a matrix $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M : SO(3))$, s.t., $(\frac{16n(n+2)}{Scal}\Psi \cdot \eta, Q)$ is 3-Sasakian;
 - iii) The torsion of the Biquard connection is identically zero.

The components of the torsion tensor transform according to the following formulas: if $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{2h}\eta$

• $\overline{T}^0(X, Y) = T^0(X, Y) + h^{-1} [\nabla dh]_{[sym][-1]}$, where the symmetric part is given by

$$[\nabla dh]_{[sym]}(X,Y) = \nabla dh(X,Y) + \sum_{s=1}^{3} dh(\xi_s) \,\omega_s(X,Y).$$

• $\overline{U}(X, Y) = U(X, Y) + (2h)^{-1} [\nabla dh - 2h^{-1} dh \otimes dh]_{[3][0]}$ or if $f = \frac{1}{2h}, \, \overline{\eta} = f\eta$, then $\overline{U}(X, Y) = U(X, Y) - (2f)^{-1} [\nabla df]_{[3][0]}.$

Solution on $G(\mathbb{H})$:

Solution on G(II):

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Let $\Theta = \frac{1}{2h}\tilde{\Theta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\Theta}$ on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G** (\mathbb{H}). If Θ is also qc-Einstein, then up to a left translation the function h is given by

$$h = c \left[(1 + \nu |q|^2)^2 + \nu^2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \right],$$

where c and ν are positive constants. All functions h of this form have this property.

Solution on G(II):

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Let $\Theta = \frac{1}{2h}\tilde{\Theta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\Theta}$ on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G** (\mathbb{H}). If Θ is also qc-Einstein, then up to a left translation the function h is given by

$$h = c \left[(1 + \nu |q|^2)^2 + \nu^2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \right],$$

where c and ν are positive constants. All functions h of this form have this property.

The problem is to show that these are all solutions to the Yamabe equation on $G(\mathbb{H})$ - we need Obata type theorem

Solution on G(II):

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Let $\Theta = \frac{1}{2h}\tilde{\Theta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\Theta}$ on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G** (\mathbb{H}). If Θ is also qc-Einstein, then up to a left translation the function h is given by

$$h = c \left[(1 + \nu |q|^2)^2 + \nu^2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \right],$$

where c and ν are positive constants. All functions h of this form have this property.

The problem is to show that these are all solutions to the Yamabe equation on $G(\mathbb{H})$ - we need Obata type theorem Using Cayley we translate the problem to the sphere which is compact and can apply the horisontal divergence formula:

Proposition

Let (M^{4n+3}, η, g_H) be a compact closed manifold with a contact quaternionic structure and σ a horizontal 1-form, $\sigma \in \Lambda^1(H)$. Then we have

$$\int_{M} (\nabla^* \sigma) \ \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \wedge \omega_1^{2n} = 0,$$

where $\nabla^* \sigma = -(\nabla \sigma)(e_{\alpha}; e_{\alpha})$ and $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ is an ONB frame on $H, \alpha = 1, \dots, 4n$.

Suppose (M^7, η) is a quaternionic contact structure conformal to a 3-Sasakian structure $(M^7, \bar{\eta})$, $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{2h} \eta$. If $Scal_{\eta} = Scal_{\bar{\eta}} = 16n(n+2)$, $f = \frac{1}{2} + h + \frac{1}{4}h^{-2}|\nabla h|^2$ we have

$$div\Big\{ fD + \sum_{s=1}^{3} \Big(dh(\xi_s) F_s + 4 dh(\xi_s) I_s A_s - \frac{10}{3} dh(\xi_s) I_s A \Big) \Big\} = f |T^0|^2 + h \langle QV, V \rangle.$$

Here, Q is a positive definite matrix, $V = (D_1, D_2, D_3, A_1, A_2, A_3)$, $A_i = I_i[\xi_j, \xi_k]$, $A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$.

 $D_{1}(X) = -h^{-1}T^{0^{+--}}(X, \nabla h), D_{2}(X) = -h^{-1}T^{0^{-+-}}(X, \nabla h), D_{3}(X) = -h^{-1}T^{0^{--+}}(X, \nabla h),$ $F_{s}(X) = -h^{-1}T^{0}(X, I_{s}\nabla h), \quad s = 1, 2, 3.$

In particular, η is again qc-Einstein.

(Institute)

Let $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{2h}\eta$, $\tilde{\eta}$ standard quaternionic contact structure on the quaternionic unit sphere S^7 . If η has constant qc-scalar curvature, then up to a multiplicative constant η is obtained from $\tilde{\eta}$ by a conformal quaternionic contact automorphism

 $\phi \in Diff(M), \quad \phi^* \tilde{\eta} = \mu \Psi \tilde{\eta}, \quad \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M: SO(3)),$

$$\eta = \phi^* \tilde{\eta}.$$

Furthermore, $\lambda(S^7) = \Upsilon(\tilde{\eta}) = 48 (4\pi)^{1/5}$ and this minimum value is achieved only by $\tilde{\eta}$ and its images under conformal quaternionic contact automorphisms.

The Cayley transform is a conformal quaternionic contact diffeomorphism between the quaternionic Heisenberg group with its standard quaternionic contact structure $\tilde{\Theta}$ and $S \setminus \{(-1,0)\}$ with its standard structure $\tilde{\eta}$. Hence, up to a constant multiplicative factor and a quaternionic contact automorphism the forms $\mathbb{C}_* \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\Theta}$ are conformal to each other. It follows that the same is true for $\mathbb{C}_* \eta$ and $\tilde{\Theta}$. In addition, $\tilde{\Theta}$ is qc-Einstein by definition, while η and hence also $\mathbb{C}_* \eta$ are qc-Einstein as follows from the above theorem. According to our previous Theorem, up to a multiplicative constant factor, the relation between the forms $\mathbb{C}_* \tilde{\eta}$ and $\mathbb{C}_* \eta$ are known, related by a translation or dilation on the Heisenberg group. Hence, we conclude that up to a multiplicative constant, η is obtained from $\tilde{\eta}$ by a conformal quaternionic contact automorpism. From the conformal properties of the Cayley transform it follows that the minimum $\lambda(S^{4n+3})$ is achieved by a smooth 3-contact form, which due to the Yamabe equation is of constant qc-scalar curvature.

Let $\eta = f\tilde{\eta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\eta}$ on the quaternionic sphere S^{4n+3} , n > 1. Suppose η has constant qc-scalar curvature and

- i) the vertical space of η is integrable; or
- ii) the function f is the real part of an anti-CRF function;

then up to a multiplicative constant η is obtained from $\tilde{\eta}$ by a conformal quaternionic contact automorphism.

Let $\eta = f\tilde{\eta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\eta}$ on the quaternionic sphere S^{4n+3} , n > 1. Suppose η has constant qc-scalar curvature and

- i) the vertical space of η is integrable; or
- ii) the function f is the real part of an anti-CRF function;

then up to a multiplicative constant η is obtained from $\tilde{\eta}$ by a conformal quaternionic contact automorphism.

Definition

A smooth \mathbb{H} -valued function $F : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$, F = f + iw + ju + kv, is said to be an anti-CRF function if the smooth real valued functions f, w, u, v satisfy

 $df = I_1 dw + I_2 du + I_3 dv \mod \eta,$

Let $\eta = f\tilde{\eta}$ be a conformal deformation of the standard qc-structure $\tilde{\eta}$ on the quaternionic sphere S^{4n+3} , n > 1. Suppose η has constant qc-scalar curvature and

- i) the vertical space of η is integrable; or
- ii) the function f is the real part of an anti-CRF function;

then up to a multiplicative constant η is obtained from $\tilde{\eta}$ by a conformal quaternionic contact automorphism.

Definition

A smooth \mathbb{H} -valued function $F : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$, F = f + iw + ju + kv, is said to be an anti-CRF function if the smooth real valued functions f, w, u, v satisfy

$$df = l_1 dw + l_2 du + l_3 dv \mod \eta,$$

Note: On a 3-Sasakian, $df = l_1 dw + l_2 du + l_3 dv \mod \tilde{\eta}$ implies $[\tilde{\nabla} df]_{[3][0]} = 0$. Recall, $U(X, Y) = \tilde{U}(X, Y) - (2f)^{-1} [\tilde{\nabla} df]_{[3][0]}$. Hence $U = \tilde{U}$.

(Institute)
Lemma (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

Let $(M, \bar{\eta})$ be a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension (4n + 3), n > 1. Let $\eta = \frac{1}{2h} \bar{\eta}$ be a conformal deformation with Scal_{η} =const. Then any one of the following conditions implies that η is a qc-Einstein structure.

- i) the vertical space of η is integrable;
- ii) the QC structure η is qc-pseudo Einstein, U = 0; ($\nabla^* U = 0$ is enough)
- ii) the QC structure η has $\nabla^* T^0 = 0$.

The Bianchi Identities

$$\sigma_{X,Y,Z}\Big\{R(X,Y,Z,V) - g((\nabla_X T)(Y,Z),V) - g(T(T_{X,Y},Z),V)\Big\} = 0$$

$$\sigma_{X,Y,Z}\Big\{g((\nabla_X R)(Y,Z)V,W) + g(R(T_{X,Y},Z)V,W)\Big\} = 0$$

Theorem (I/ I. Minchev, D. Vassilev)

The divergences of the curvature tensors satisfy the system Bb = 0, where

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 6 & 4n-1 & \frac{3}{16n(n+2)} & 0\\ -1 & 0 & n+2 & \frac{3}{16n(n+2)} & 0\\ 1 & -3 & 4 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\mathbf{b} = \left(\nabla^* T^o, \quad \nabla^* U, \quad A, \quad dScal, \quad Ric(\xi_j, I_j .) \right)^t, \quad A = I_1[\xi_2, \xi_3] + I_2[\xi_3, \xi_1] + I_3[\xi_1, \xi_2].$

$$\begin{aligned} [Ric_0]_{[-1]}(X,Y) &= (2n+2)T^0(X,Y) = -(2n+2)h^{-1}[\nabla dh]_{[sym][-1]}(X,Y) \\ [Ric_0]_{[3]}(X,Y) &= 2(2n+5)U(X,Y) = -(2n+5)h^{-1}[\nabla dh - 2h^{-1}dh \otimes dh]_{[3][0]}(X,Y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} h \mid [\operatorname{\textit{Ric}}_{o}]_{[-1]} \mid^{2} \eta \wedge \omega^{2n} &= (2n+2) \int \langle [\operatorname{\textit{Ric}}_{o}]_{[-1]}, \nabla dh] \rangle \eta \wedge \omega^{2n} \\ &= (2n+2) \int_{M} \langle \nabla^{*} [\operatorname{\textit{Ric}}_{o}]_{[-1]}, \nabla h] \rangle \eta \wedge \omega^{2n} = 0. \end{split}$$

(Institute)

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0\}.$

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0 \}.$

Theorem (W. Wang '06)

- a) $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) \leq \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}]).$
- b) If $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$, then the Yamabe problem has a solution.

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_u \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_M u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0\}.$

Theorem (W. Wang '06)

- a) $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}([\eta]) \leq \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}]).$
- b) If $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$, then the Yamabe problem has a solution.

Following the Riemannian and CR cases it remains to investigate

- when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and show the existence of a solution to the qc-Yamabe problem
 - the most difficult part (may lead to 'quaternionic positive mass').

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0 \}.$

Theorem (W. Wang '06)

- a) $\Upsilon_{M}([\eta]) \leq \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}]).$
- b) If $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$, then the Yamabe problem has a solution.

Following the Riemannian and CR cases it remains to investigate

- when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and show the existence of a solution to the qc-Yamabe problem the most difficult part (may lead to 'quaternionic positive mass').
- Find out when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$. We propose the validity of the following

Theorem (conjectured)

On a compact qc manifods $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ unless it is locally qc-conformal to S^{4n+3} .

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0 \}.$

Theorem (W. Wang '06)

- a) $\Upsilon_{M}([\eta]) \leq \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}]).$
- b) If $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$, then the Yamabe problem has a solution.

Following the Riemannian and CR cases it remains to investigate

• when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and show the existence of a solution to the qc-Yamabe problem - the most difficult part (may lead to 'quaternionic positive mass').

Find out when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$. We propose the validity of the following

Theorem (conjectured)

On a compact qc manifods $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ unless it is locally qc-conformal to S^{4n+3} .

• The first step is to find out a tensorial obstruction, i.e. a tensor invariant which vanishes exactly when the qc-mqnifold is locally qc-conformal to *S*^{4*n*+3} - Weyl theorem in Riemannian case and Cartan-Chern-Moser result in CR-geometry-DONE - we discovered *W*^{*qc*} and prove the local flatness theorem.

- Yamabe functional: $\Upsilon(u) = \int_M (4\frac{Q+2}{Q-2} |\nabla_H u|^2 + \operatorname{Scal} u^2) dv_g$.
- The Yamabe invariant is the infimum $\Upsilon([\eta]) = \inf_{u} \{\Upsilon(u) : \int_{M} u^{2^*} dv_g = 1, u > 0 \}.$

Theorem (W. Wang '06)

- a) $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}([\eta]) \leq \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}]).$
- b) If $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$, then the Yamabe problem has a solution.

Following the Riemannian and CR cases it remains to investigate

when Υ_M([η]) = Υ_{S⁴ⁿ⁺³}([η̃]) and show the existence of a solution to the qc-Yamabe problem
the most difficult part (may lead to 'quaternionic positive mass').

Find out when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and when $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) = \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$. We propose the validity of the following

Theorem (conjectured)

On a compact qc manifods $\Upsilon_M([\eta]) < \Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ unless it is locally qc-conformal to S^{4n+3} .

- The first step is to find out a tensorial obstruction, i.e. a tensor invariant which vanishes exactly when the qc-mqnifold is locally qc-conformal to *S*^{4*n*+3} Weyl theorem in Riemannian case and Cartan-Chern-Moser result in CR-geometry-DONE we discovered *W*^{*qc*} and prove the local flatness theorem.
- Expand Υ_M([η] using this tensor to show the conjectured result- WORK IN PROGRESS.
 - Construct suitable coordinates to express $\Upsilon_M([\eta])$ in terms of $\Upsilon_{S^{4n+3}}([\tilde{\eta}])$ and the norm $|W^{qc}|^2$ the first part DONE by Christopher S. Kunkel, arXiv:0807.0465

We define a curvature type tensor W^{qc} on \mathbb{H} depending only on the torsion T^0 , U and the scalar curvature *Scal* and show

Theorem (I/ D. Vassilev)

a) The qc conformal curvature W^{qc} is invariant under quaternionic contact conformal transformations, i.e., if

 $\bar{\eta} = \phi \Psi \eta$ then $W_{\bar{\eta}}^{qc} = \phi W_{\eta}^{qc}$,

for any smooth positive function ϕ and any SO(3)-matrix Ψ .

b) A qc structure on a (4n+3)-dimensional smooth manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the standard flat qc structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G**(\mathbb{H}) if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{qc} = 0$.

We define a curvature type tensor W^{qc} on \mathbb{H} depending only on the torsion T^0 , U and the scalar curvature *Scal* and show

Theorem (I/ D. Vassilev)

a) The qc conformal curvature W^{qc} is invariant under quaternionic contact conformal transformations, i.e., if

 $\bar{\eta} = \phi \Psi \eta$ then $W_{\bar{\eta}}^{qc} = \phi W_{\eta}^{qc}$,

for any smooth positive function ϕ and any SO(3)-matrix Ψ .

b) A qc structure on a (4n+3)-dimensional smooth manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the standard flat qc structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G** (\mathbb{H}) if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{qc} = 0$.

Corrolary (I/ D. Vassilev)

A qc manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the quaternionic sphere S^{4n+3} if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{qc} = 0$.

We define a curvature type tensor W^{qc} on \mathbb{H} depending only on the torsion T^0 , U and the scalar curvature *Scal* and show

Theorem (I/ D. Vassilev)

a) The qc conformal curvature W^{qc} is invariant under quaternionic contact conformal transformations, i.e., if

 $\bar{\eta} = \phi \Psi \eta$ then $W_{\bar{\eta}}^{qc} = \phi W_{\eta}^{qc}$,

for any smooth positive function ϕ and any SO(3)-matrix Ψ .

b) A qc structure on a (4n+3)-dimensional smooth manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the standard flat qc structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group **G** (\mathbb{H}) if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{qc} = 0$.

Corrolary (I/ D. Vassilev)

A qc manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the quaternionic sphere S^{4n+3} if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{qc} = 0$.

Our proof is similar to the classical approach used by H.Weyl and it is different than that used by Chern-Moser where the Cartan method of equivalence is applied.

- "Schouten" tensor $L(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}T^0(X, Y) + U(X, Y) + \frac{Scal}{32n(n+2)}g(X, Y)$.
- Conformal curvature

$$WR(X, Y, Z, V) = R(X, Y, Z, V) + (g \otimes L)(X, Y, Z, V) + \sum_{s=1}^{3} (\omega_s \otimes I_s L)(X, Y, Z, V)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j,k)} \omega_i(X, Y) \Big[L(Z, I_i V) - L(I_i Z, V) + L(I_j Z, I_k V) - L(I_k Z, I_j V) \Big]$$

$$- \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_s(Z, V) \Big[L(X, I_s Y) - L(I_s X, Y) \Big] + \frac{1}{2n} (trL) \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_s(X, Y) \omega_s(Z, V),$$

where \otimes is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of symmetric tensors and $\sum_{(i,j,k)}$ denotes the cyclic sum.

Proposition

a) The [-1]-part w. r. t. the first two arguments of WR vanishes, $WR_{[-1]}(X, Y, Z, V) = \frac{1}{4} \left[3WR(X, Y, Z, V) - \sum_{s=1}^{3} WR(I_s X, I_s Y, Z, V) \right] = 0.$

We define the qc-conformal curvature tensor $W^{qc} = WR_{[3]}$.

Proposition

И

- a) The [-1]-part w. r. t. the first two arguments of WR vanishes, $WR_{[-1]}(X, Y, Z, V) = \frac{1}{4} \left[3WR(X, Y, Z, V) - \sum_{s=1}^{3} WR(I_s X, I_s Y, Z, V) \right] = 0.$
- b) The [3]-part w. r. t. the first two arguments of WR is determined by the torsion and the scalar curvature

$$\begin{aligned} VR_{[3]}(X, Y, Z, V) &= \frac{1}{4} \Big[R(X, Y, Z, V) + \sum_{s=1}^{3} R(I_{s}X, I_{s}Y, Z, V) \Big] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(Z, V) \Big[T^{0}(X, I_{s}Y) - T^{0}(I_{s}X, Y) \Big] \\ &+ \frac{Scal}{32n(n+2)} \Big[(g \otimes g)(X, Y, Z, V) + \sum_{s=1}^{3} (\omega_{s} \otimes \omega_{s})(X, Y, Z, V) \Big] \\ &+ (g \otimes U)(X, Y, Z, V) + \sum_{s=1}^{3} (\omega_{s} \otimes I_{s}U)(X, Y, Z, V). \end{aligned}$$

We define the qc-conformal curvature tensor $W^{qc} = WR_{[3]}$.

(Institute)

A consequence of the Bianchi identities:

Theorem (I/ Vasilev)

The following tensors

- R(X, Y, Z, V) R(Z, V, X, Y)
- $4R_{[-1]}(X, Y, Z, V) =$ $3R(X, Y, Z, V) - R(l_1X, l_1Y, Z, V) - R(l_2X, l_2Y, Z, V) - R(l_3X, l_3Y, Z, V)$
- $R(\xi_i, X, Y, Z)$
- $R(\xi_i,\xi_j,X,Y)$

are determined by the (horizontal!) torsion tensor, i.e., T⁰, U and Scal.

Corrolary

A QC manifold is locally isomorphic to the quaternionic Heisenberg group exactly when the curvature of the Biquard connection restricted to H vanishes, $R_{|_H} = 0$.

Sketch of the proof of the conformal flatness theorem

 Conformal invariance-long direct standard calculations and careful analysis of the structure of the qc-conformally related curvatures.

Sketch of the proof of the conformal flatness theorem

- Conformal invariance-long direct standard calculations and careful analysis of the structure of the qc-conformally related curvatures.
- Suppose $W^{qc} = 0$. Then WR = 0 We look for a smooth function such that after a conformal transformation the new qc structure has flat Biquard connection restricted to the common horizontal space *H*.

Sketch of the proof of the conformal flatness theorem

- Conformal invariance-long direct standard calculations and careful analysis of the structure of the qc-conformally related curvatures.
- Suppose $W^{qc} = 0$. Then WR = 0 We look for a smooth function such that after a conformal transformation the new qc structure has flat Biquard connection restricted to the common horizontal space H.
- We consider the following overdetermined system of partial differential differential equations:

$$\nabla du(X,Y) = -du(X)du(Y) + du(I_1X)du(I_1Y) + du(I_2X)du(I_2Y) + du(I_3X)du(I_3Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X,Y)|du|^2 - du(\xi_1)\omega_1(X,Y) - du(\xi_2)\omega_2(X,Y) - du(\xi_3)\omega_3(X,Y) - L(X,Y), \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla du(X,\xi_{i}) = \mathbb{B}(X,\xi_{i}) - L(X,I_{i}du) + \frac{1}{2}du(I_{i}X)|du|^{2} - du(X)du(\xi_{i}) - du(I_{j}X)du(\xi_{k}) + du(I_{k}X)du(\xi_{j}), \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla du(\xi_1,\xi_1) = -\mathbb{B}(\xi_1,\xi_1) + \mathbb{B}(I_1 du,\xi_1) + \frac{1}{4} |du|^4 - (du(\xi_1))^2 + (du(\xi_2))^2 + (du(\xi_3))^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla du(\xi_2,\xi_1) = -\mathbb{B}(\xi_2,\xi_1) + \mathbb{B}(I_1 du,\xi_2) - 2du(\xi_1)du(\xi_2) - \frac{Scal}{16n(n+2)}du(\xi_3), \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla du(\xi_3,\xi_1) = -\mathbb{B}(\xi_3,\xi_1) + \mathbb{B}(l_1 du,\xi_3) - 2du(\xi_1)du(\xi_3) + \frac{Scal}{16n(n+2)}du(\xi_2).$$
(5)

where $\mathbb{B}(X, \xi_i)$ and $\mathbb{B}(\xi_i, \xi_i)$ do not depend on the unknown function u.

$$\mathbb{B}(X,\xi_i) = \frac{1}{2(2n+1)} \Big[(\nabla_{e_a} L)(l_i e_a, X) + \frac{1}{3} \Big((\nabla_{e_a} L)(e_a, l_i X) - \nabla_{l_i X} tr L \Big) \Big],$$
$$\mathbb{B}(\xi_s,\xi_t) = \frac{1}{4n} \Big[(\nabla_{e_a} \mathbb{B})(l_s e_a,\xi_t) + L(e_a, e_b) L(l_t e_a, l_s e_b) \Big].$$

The integrability conditions for this over-determined system are the Ricci identities for the Biquard connection.

$$\nabla du(A, B, C) - \nabla du(B, A, C) = -R(A, B, C, du) - \nabla du((T(A, B), C), A, B, C \in \Gamma(TM).$$

After very long calculations we show that these conditions are consequence of $W^{qc} = 0$ applying the Bianchi identities for the Biquard connection.

Integrability conditions read:

$$(\nabla_{Z}L)(X,Y)-(\nabla_{X}L)(Z,Y)=\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(Z,Y)\mathbb{B}(X,\xi_{s})-\omega_{s}(X,Y)\mathbb{B}(Z,\xi_{s})+2\omega_{s}(Z,X)\mathbb{B}(Y,\xi_{s})\right].$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\xi_t} L)(X,Y) + (\nabla_X \mathbb{B})(Y,\xi_t) + L(Y,l_t L(X)) + L(T(\xi_t,X),Y) + g(T(\xi_t,Y),L(X)) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^3 \mathbb{B}(\xi_s,\xi_t) \omega_s(X,Y), \quad t = 1,2,3. \end{aligned}$$

$$(\nabla_{\xi_1}\mathbb{B})(X,\xi_2)+(\nabla_X\mathbb{B})(\xi_1,\xi_2)-2L(X,l_2e_a)\mathbb{B}(e_a,\xi_1)+T(\xi_1,X,e_a)\mathbb{B}(e_a,\xi_2)-\frac{1}{2n}trL\mathbb{B}(X,\xi_3)=0.$$

$$(\nabla_{\xi_2}\mathbb{B})(X,\xi_2) + (\nabla_X B)(\xi_2,\xi_2) - 2\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e}_a,\xi_2)L(X,I_2\mathbf{e}_a) + T(\xi_2,X,\mathbf{e}_a)\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e}_a,\xi_2) = 0.$$

$$\nabla_{\xi_1} \mathbb{B}(\xi_3, \xi_2) - \nabla_{\xi_3} \mathbb{B}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2n} (tr L) \left[\mathbb{B}(\xi_1, \xi_1) - 2\mathbb{B}(\xi_2, \xi_2) + \mathbb{B}(\xi_3, \xi_3) \right] \\ + 2\mathbb{B}(e_a, \xi_1) \mathbb{B}(l_2 e_a, \xi_3) + \mathbb{B}(e_a, \xi_1) \mathbb{B}(l_3 e_a, \xi_2) + \mathbb{B}(l_1 e_a, \xi_3) \mathbb{B}(e_a, \xi_2).$$

 $\nabla_{\xi_2} \mathbb{B}(\xi_3,\xi_2) - \nabla_{\xi_3} \mathbb{B}(\xi_2,\xi_2) = -B(l_3e_a,\xi_2) \mathbb{B}(e_a,\xi_2) + 3\mathbb{B}(l_2e_a,\xi_3) \mathbb{B}(e_a,\xi_2) + \frac{3}{n}(tr \, L) \, \mathbb{B}(\xi_1,\xi_2).$

A 'standard' application of our conformal flatness theorem is

A 'standard' application of our conformal flatness theorem is

A 'standard' application of our conformal flatness theorem is

Theorem (I/ D. Vassilev)

Let (M, η) be a compact quaternionic contact manifold and G a connected Lie group of conformal quaternionic contact automorphisms of M. If G is non-compact then M is qc conformally equivalent to the unit sphere S in quaternionic space.